FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 18 NUMBER 216

Washington, Wednesday, November 4, 1953

TITLE 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket 6104]

PART 3—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

FLORIDA PLANTERS, INC.

Subpart—*Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act, as amended*—Payment or acceptance of commission, brokerage or other compensation under 2 (c) § 3.800 *Buyer's agents;* § 3.820 *Direct buyers.* In connection with the sale of potatoes, or any other vegetables, in interstate commerce: (1) Making payments to brokers on purchases for their own accounts in amounts which are the same as the amounts of brokerage fees paid to brokers effecting sales, as agents, to other purchasers, or in any other amounts which are also paid as brokerage, whether such payments are made upon being billed therefor or otherwise; (2) selling to any purchaser at prices which are lower than the prices at which sales are made to other purchasers in amounts which are the same or substantially the same as the amounts of brokerage fees paid to brokers effecting sales, as agents, to such other purchasers or in any other amounts which also are in lieu of brokerages whether such lower prices are charged by invoicing at a lower price or by permitting the purchaser to make a deduction from the regular invoice price in remitting payment or by any other device; and (3) paying or granting anything of value as a commission, brokerage or other compensation or allowance or discount in lieu thereof to the other parties to such transactions, or to their agents, representatives or other intermediaries therein who in fact act for or in behalf, or are subject to the direct or indirect control, of such other parties; prohibited.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 722; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 2, 38 Stat. 730, as amended, 15 U. S. C. 13) [Cease and desist order, Florida Planters, Inc., Hastings, Fla., Docket 6104, October 6, 1953]

This proceeding was heard by James A. Purcell, hearing examiner, upon the complaint of the Commission and respondent's answer, in which it admitted all of the material allegations of facts set forth in said complaint and elected not to contest the same.

Thereafter, the proceeding regularly came on for final consideration by said examiner, theretofore duly designated by the Commission, upon said complaint and admission answer thereto, proposed findings and conclusions not having been submitted by counsel, and oral argument not having been requested, and said examiner, having duly considered the record in the matter, made his initial decision comprising certain findings as to the facts,[1] conclusions drawn therefrom,[1] and order to cease and desist.

Thereafter, following the Commission's review of said initial decision, the matter was disposed of by "Decision of the Commission and Order to File Report of Compliance", dated October 6, 1953, as follows:

This matter coming on to be heard by the Commission upon its review of the hearing examiner's initial decision herein; and

The Commission having duly considered the entire record and being of the opinion that said initial decision is adequate and appropriate to dispose of the proceeding:

It is ordered, That the attached initial decision of the hearing examiner[1] shall on the 6th day of October, 1953, become the decision of the Commission.

It is further ordered, That the respondent, Florida Planters, Inc., shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the order to cease and desist.

The order to cease and desist in said initial decision, thus made the decision of the Commission is as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondent, Florida Planters, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, directors, agents or employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the sale of potatoes, or any other vege-

[1] Filed as part of the original document.

(Continued on p. 6955)

CONTENTS

FEDERAL REGISTER

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $1.50 per month or $15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15¢) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

CONTENTS—Continued

CONTENTS—Continued

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

CODIFICATION GUIDE—Con.

table, in interstate commerce, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Making payments to brokers on purchases for their own accounts in amounts which are the same as the amounts of brokerage fees paid to brokers effecting sales, as agents, to other purchasers, or in any other amounts which are also paid as brokerage, whether such payments are made upon being billed therefor or otherwise;

2. Selling to any purchaser at prices which are lower than the prices at which sales are made to other purchasers in amounts which are the same or substantially the same as the amounts of brokerage fees paid to brokers effecting sales, as agents, to such other purchasers or in any other amounts which also are in lieu of brokerages whether such lower prices are charged by invoicing at a lower price or by permitting the purchaser to make a deduction from the regular invoice price in remitting payment or by any other device.

3. Paying or granting anything of value as a commission, brokerage or other compensation or allowance or discount in lieu thereof to the other parties to such transactions, or to their agents, represenatives or other intermediaries therein who in fact act for or in behalf, or are subject to the direct or indirect control, of such other parties.

Issued: October 6, 1953.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ALEX. AKERMAN, JR., *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9303; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket 6110]

PART 3—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

ANNSHIRE GARMENT CO., INC., ET AL.

Subpart—*Misbranding or mislabeling:* § 3.1190 *Composition; Wool Products Labeling Act;* § 3.1325 *Source or origin*—Maker or seller—Wool Products Labeling Act. Subpart—*Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure:* § 3.1845 *Composition*—Wool Products Labeling Act; § 3.1900 *Source or origin*—Wool Products Labeling Act. In connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation or distribution in commerce of ladies' coats or other "wool products" as such products are defined in and subject to the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, which products contain, purport to contain, or in any way are represented as containing "wool" "reprocessed wool" or "reused wool", as those terms are defined in said Act, misbranding such products by (1) Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise falsely identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein; (2) failing to securely affix to or place on each such product a stamp, tag, label or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner: (a) The percentage of the total fiber weight of such wool product, exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding five percentum of said total fiber weight of (1) wool, (2) reprocessed wool, (3) reused wool, (4) each fiber other than wool where said percentage by weight of such fiber is five percentum or more, and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers; (b) the maximum percentages of the total weight of such wool product of any nonfibrous loading, filling, or adulterating matter; (c) the name or the registered identification number of the manufacturer of such wool product or of one or more persons engaged in introducing such wool product into commerce, or in the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution or delivering for shipment thereof in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939; and, (3) failing to separately set forth on the required stamp, tag, label or other means of identification the character and amount of the constituent fibers appearing in the interlinings of such wool products as provided by Rule 24 of the rules and regulations promulgated under said act; prohibited, subject to the proviso, however, that the foregoing provisions concerning misbranding shall not be construed to prohibit acts permitted by paragraphs (a) and (b) of section 3 of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939; and subject to the further provision that nothing contained in the order shall be construed as limiting any applicable provisions of said act or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 722; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, secs. 2–5, 54 Stat. 1128–1130; 15 U. S. C. 45, 68–68c) [Cease and desist order, Annshire Garment Co., Inc., et al., Pittsburg, Kans., Docket 6110, October 1, 1953]

In the Matter of Annshire Garment Co., Inc., a Corporation, and Isidore Liebling and Jack Liebling, Individually

This proceeding was instituted by complaint which charged respondents with the use of unfair and deceptive acts and practices in violation of the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

It was disposed of, as announced by the Commission's "Notice", dated October 6, 1953, through the consent settlement procedure provided in Rule V of the Commission's rules of practice as follows:

The consent settlement tendered by the parties in this proceeding, a copy of which is served herewith, was accepted by the Commission on October 1, 1953,

and ordered entered of record as the Commission's findings as to the facts, conclusion, and order in disposition of this proceeding.

Said order to cease and desist, thus entered of record, following the findings as to the facts[1] and conclusion,[1] reads as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Annshire Garment Co., Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and respondents Isidore Liebling and Jack Liebling, individually, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation or distribution in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, of ladies' coats or other "wool products" as such products are defined in and subject to the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, which products contain, purport to contain, or in any way are represented as containing "wool," "reprocessed wool" or "reused wool," as those terms are defined in said act, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:

1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise falsely identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein;

2. Failing to securely affix to or place on each such product a stamp, tag, label or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner:

(a) The percentage of the total fiber weight of such wool product, exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding five percentum of said total fiber weight of (1) wool, (2) reprocessed wool, (3) reused wool, (4) each fiber other than wool where said percentage by weight of such fiber is five percentum or more, and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers;

(b) The maximum percentages of the total weight of such wool product of any non-fibrous loading, filling, or adulterating matter;

(c) The name or the registered identification number of the manufactuer of such wool product or of one or more persons engaged in introducing such wool product into commerce, or in the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution or delivering for shipment thereof in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

3. Failing to separately set forth on the required stamp, tag, label or other means of identification the character and amount of the constituent fibers appearing in the interlinings of such wool products as provided by Rule 24 of the rules and regulations promulgated under said act.

Provided, That the foregoing provisions concerning misbranding shall not be construed to prohibit acts permitted by paragraphs (a) and (b) of section 3 of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939; *And provided further* That nothing contained in this order shall be construed as limiting any applicable provisions of said act or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

The foregoing consent settlement is hereby accepted by the Federal Trade Commission and ordered entered of record on this 1st day of October 1953.

Issued: October 6, 1953.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL] ALEX. AKERMAN, Jr., *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9301; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:50 a. m.]

[1] Filed as part of the original document.

[Docket 6120]

PART 3—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

ARTHUR DOCTOR & CO. ET AL.

Subpart—*Misbranding or mislabeling:* § 3.1190 *Composition;* Wool Products Labeling Act; § 3.1325 *Source or origin*—Maker or seller—Wool Products Labeling Act. Subpart—*Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure:* § 3.1845 *Composition*—Wool Products Labeling Act; § 3.1900 *Source or origin*—Wool Products Labeling Act. In connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction in commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation or distribution in commerce, of ladies' or misses' coats or other "wool products" as such products are defined in and subject to the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, which products contain, purport to contain, or in any way are represented as containing "wool" "reprocessed wool" or "reused wool" as those terms are defined in said Act, misbranding such products by: (1) Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers included therein; (2) failing to securely affix to or place on each such product a stamp, tag, label or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner: (a) The percentage of the total fiber weight of such wool product, exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding five percentum of said total fiber weight, of (1) wool, (2) reprocessed wool, (3) reused wool, (4) each fiber other than wool where said percentage by weight of such fiber is five percentum or more, and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers; (b) the maximum percentage of the total weight of such wool product of any non-fibrous loading, filling, or adulterating matter; (c) the name or the registered identification number of the manufacturer of such wool product or of one or more persons engaged in introducing such wool product into commerce, or in the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution or delivery for shipment thereof in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939; (3) falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as containing hair or fleece of the Cashmere goat; and (4) stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as containing hair or fleece of the Cashmere goat without setting out in a clear and conspicuous manner on each such stamp, tag, label, or other identification the percentage of such Cashmere therein; prohibited, subject to the proviso, however, that the foregoing provisions concerning misbranding shall not be construed to prohibit acts permitted by paragraphs (a) and (b) of section 3 of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939; and to the further provision that nothing contained in the order shall be construed as limiting any applicable provisions of said act or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 722; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, secs. 2–5, 54 Stat. 1128–1130; 15 U. S. C. 45, 68–68c) [Cease and desist order, Arthur Doctor et al. trading as Arthur Doctor & Co., New York, N. Y., Docket 6120, October 1, 1953]

In the Matter of Arthur Doctor, Theodore Doctor and Celestine Doctor, Individually and as Copartners Trading and Doing Business as Arthur Doctor & Co.

This proceeding was instituted by complaint which charged respondents with the use of unfair and deceptive acts and practices in violation of the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

It was disposed of, as announced by the Commission's "Notice", dated October 5, 1953, through the consent settlement procedure provided in Rule V of the Commission's rules of practice as follows:

The consent settlement tendered by the parties in this proceeding, a copy of which is served herewith, was accepted by the Commission on October 1, 1953 and ordered entered of record as the Commission's findings as to the facts, conclusion, and order in disposition of this proceeding.

Said order to cease and desist, thus entered of record, following the findings as to the facts[1] and conclusions,[1] reads as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents, Arthur Doctor, Theodore Doctor, and Celestine Doctor, individually and trading and doing business under the firm name of Arthur Doctor & Co., or under any other name or names, and their respective representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation or distribution in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, of ladies' or misses' coats or other "wool products" as such products are defined in and subject to the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, which products contain, purport to contain or in any way are represented as containing "wool," "reprocessed wool" or "reused wool," as those terms are defined in said act, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:

1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers included therein;

2. Failing to securely affix to or place on each such product a stamp, tag, label or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner;

(a) The percentage of the total fiber weight of such wool product, exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding five percentum of said total fiber weight, of (1) wool, (2) reprocessed wool, (3) reused wool, (4) each fiber other than wool where said percentage by weight of such fiber is five percentum or more, and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers;

(b) The maximum percentage of the total weight of such wool product of any non-fibrous loading, filling, or adulterating matter;

(c) The name or the registered identification number of the manufacturer of such wool product or of one or more persons engaged in introducing such wool product into commerce, or in the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution or delivery for shipment thereof in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

3. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as containing hair or fleece of the Cashmere goat;

4. Stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as containing hair or fleece of the Cashmere goat without setting out in a clear and conspicuous manner on each such stamp, tag, label or other identification the percentage of such Cashmere therein;

Provided, That the foregoing provisions concerning misbranding shall not be construed to prohibit acts permitted by paragraphs (a) and (b) of section 3 of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939: *And provided further,* That nothing contained in this order shall be construed as limiting any applicable provisions of said act or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

The foregoing consent settlement is hereby accepted by the Federal Trade Commission and ordered entered of record on this 1st day of October A. D. 1953.

Issued: October 5, 1953.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL] ALEX. AKERMAN, Jr.,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9302; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:50 a. m.]

TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION

Chapter I—Civil Aeronautics Board

[Reg. No. SR-385B]

PART 40—SCHEDULED INTERSTATE AIR CARRIER CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES

PART 41—CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES FOR SCHEDULED AIR CARRIER OPERATIONS OUTSIDE THE CONTINENTAL LIMITS OF THE UNITED STATES

PART 42—IRREGULAR AIR CARRIER AND OFF-ROUTE RULES

PART 45—COMMERCIAL OPERATOR CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES

PART 61—SCHEDULED AIR CARRIER RULES

SPECIAL CIVIL AIR REGULATION; DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO THE ADMINISTRATOR TO PERMIT AIR CARRIERS UNDER CONTRACT TO THE MILITARY SERVICES TO DEVIATE FROM CIVIL AIR REGULATIONS

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 29th day of October 1953.

On July 31, 1953, Special Civil Air Regulation SR-385A was adopted extending until November 1, 1953, the authority of the Administrator to permit air carriers under contract to the military services to deviate from Parts 40, 41, 42, 45, and 61 of the Civil Air Regulations. This limited extension was adopted at that time to permit the Board to investigate more fully the question as to the necessity for continuing this authority.

A meeting was held in Washington, D. C., which interested industry and government representatives attended, to discuss the entire problem. The result of this meeting is a proposed revised Special Civil Air Regulation, for which a notice of proposed rule making is being issued concurrently with this regulation. In view of the fact that the authority delegated to the Administrator terminates on November 1, 1953, and insufficient time exists for the promulgation of the new proposed Special Civil Air Regulation prior to that date, this regulation extends until February 1, 1954, the authority previously granted to the Administrator. This extension will permit sufficient time for the study and receipt of comment concerning the new proposed Special Civil Air Regulation.

Since the time remaining prior to November 1, 1953, is insufficient to permit normal rule making procedure and delay beyond that date would operate contrary to the purpose of the regulation, the Board finds that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable; and since this regulation imposes no additional burden on any person, the regulation may be made effective without prior notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Civil Aeronautics Board hereby makes and promulgates a Special Civil Air Regulation, effective November 1, 1953, to read as follows:

1. Contrary provisions of the Civil Air Regulations notwithstanding, the Administrator may, upon application by an air carrier, authorize an air carrier under contract to the military services, or an air carrier furnishing civil aircraft and/or flight crews to another air carrier for use in operations conducted pursuant to a contract with the military services, to deviate from the applicable provisions of Parts 40 (including revised Part 40) 41, 42, 45, and 61 to the extent that he finds upon investigation a deviation from those regulations is necessary for the expeditious conduct of such operations.

2. Any authority granted by the Administrator pursuant to this regulation shall be limited to those operations conducted pursuant to military contracts and shall not be applicable to any other type of operation.

3. The Administrator shall, in any authorization granted pursuant to this regulation, specify the terms and conditions under which the air carrier may deviate from the currently prescribed regulations, and each carrier shall, in the conduct of operations pursuant to military contracts, comply with such terms and conditions.

This regulation shall terminate February 1, 1954, unless sooner superseded or rescinded.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply sections 601, 604, 52 Stat. 1007, 1010, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551, 554)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] M. C. MULLIGAN,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9329; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:55 a. m.]

Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration

[Amdt 45]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety of the flying public. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required

Part 609 is amended as follows:

1 The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609 11 are amended to read in part:

INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM PROCEDURES

When an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport(s), it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure(s), unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for such airport(s) Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name; elevation; localizer; frequency and identification; LMM: frequency and identification; LOM: frequency and identification; procedure No	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn: ( ) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intercept ion inbound (ft)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums, or if landing not accomplished; remarks
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft)			At outer marker	At middle marker	Condition	Type of aircraft: 75 m. p. h or less	Type of aircraft: More than 75 m p h	
OAKLAND CALIF. Oakland Airport 5 109.9 mc., OAK LMM: 341 kc AK LOM: None Procedure No. 1 Effective date: June 19 1953	San Francisco LOM	Newark FM and Rbn	075—13 0	4,000	S side E course: 095 outbound 275 inbound 3 500′ within 5 miles of Hayward Rbn	2 590	1 320-4 75	230-0 66	T-dn C dn S-dn 27R A-dn	300-1 0 500-1. 0 400-¾ 800-2 0	300-1 0 600-1. 5 400-¾ 800-2 0	Climb to 3,000 on NW course Oakland LFR within 15 miles, or on a course of 310° from Oakland VOR within 15 miles. *Procedure turn on Oakland LFR or VOR transition only. Upon completion of procedure turn and transition to localizer course inbound, descent from glide slope interception is authorized to cross Hayward Rbn at 2,590
	Newark FM and Rbn	Hayward Rbn	339—7 0	2, 590								
	San Francisco LFR	Newark FM and Rbn	078—16 0	4, 000								
	Oakland LFR	Hayward Rbn	099—11 0	3, 500								
	San Francisco VOR	Newark FM and Rbn	329—12 0	3, 500								
	Oakland VOR	Hayward Rbn	094—12 0	3, 500								
	Altamont Int	Newark FM and Rbn	212—25 0	5, 000								
	Moffett NAS LFR	Newark FM and Rbn	342—13 0	3 500								
OMAHA, NEBR. Omaha Airport, 982′ 110.3 mc., OMA LMM: 201 kc MA LOM: 219 kc OM Procedure No 1 Effective date: July 31 1953	Omaha VOR	SE crs ILS	299—4 2	2, 700	W side NW course: 315 outbound 135 inbound 2 300′ within 5 miles of LOM	2 200	2 107-4 60	1181-0 69	T-dn C-d C-n S-dn 14L A-dn	300-1 0 500-1 0 500-1. 5 400-¾ 800-2 0	300-1 0 500-1 5 500-2. 0 400-¾ 800-2 0	Climb to 2 700 on SE course ILS or as directed by ATC. CAUTION: 1,339′ bluff 1.5 miles E of airport. 1,730′ radio tower, 4.5 miles SW of LFR station
	Omaha LFR	NW crs ILS	260—1 0	2, 300								
	California FM	NW crs ILS	170—8 0	2, 300								
	Int. SE crs. Omaha LFR and NW crs ILS	Outer marker	315—2 0	2 300								
	Int. W crs. Omaha LFR and NW crs ILS	Outer marker	135—1 0	2 300								

NOTE: Bearings headings courses are magnetic Distances are in statute miles Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation

INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM PROCEDURES—Continued

When an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport(s), it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure(s), unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for such airport(s) Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name; elevation; localizer; frequency and identification; LMM: frequency and identification; LOM: frequency and identification; procedure No	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn: ( ) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intercept inbound (ft)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums, or if landing not accomplished; remarks
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft)			At outer marker	At middle marker	Condition	Type of aircraft: 75 m. p. h or less	Type of aircraft: More than 75 m p h	
PORTLAND, OREG. Portland Intn'l Airport, 23' 109.9 mc., PDX LMM: 201 kc, DX LOM: None Procedure No. 1 Effective date: Dec 19, 1952	Portland LFR	Sauvies Islands Rbn	253—10 0	3,000	S side NW course: 277 outbound 097 inbound 3 000' within 10 miles of Sauvies Islands Rbn (NA beyond 10 miles)	3,000	1,370-4 56	280-0 66	T-dn	300-1 0	300-1 0	Climb to 1,500 on SE course ILS within 10 miles of LMM, thence make climbing right turn to heading of 230°, proceeding to S course Portland LFR at 3,000', or to 174° outbound course from Portland VOR; or when directed by ATC, climb to 1,500' on SE course ILS within 10 miles of LMM, thence, making climbing left turn, proceed direct to Portland LFR, holding on E side N course Portland LFR in a 2-minute nonstandard pattern at 3,000'; or climb to 1,500' on SE course ILS within 10 miles of LMM, thence, making climbing left turn, proceed W to Portland VOR, holding N of W side, right turn, 1 minute pattern on an in bound course of 151° to VOR at 3,000'. NOTE: Sauvies Islands Rbn: Ident. SVY, Freq. 210 kc. located on ILS course, 6.3 miles NW of OM Glide slope altitude over SVY, 3,150'. CAUTION: (1) 633' radio tower, 4 miles WNW of airport (2) 1,039' radio tower, 7 5 miles SW of airport. (3) 700' terrain and obstructions located 5 miles E of airport
	Woodland FM	Sauvies Islands Rbn	178—22 0	3,000					C-d	600-1 0	600 1 5	
	Willamette FM	Sauvies Islands Rbn	328—22 0	3,000					C-n	600-1 0	700 1.5	
	Portland VOR	Sauvies Islands Rbn	220—12 0	3,000					S-dn	400-¾	400-¾	
	Newberg VOR	Sauvies Islands Rbn	359—23 0	3,000					10 A-dn	800-2 0	800-2 0	
	La Center FM	Sauvies Islands Rbn	107—13 0	3 000								
RICHMOND, VA. Byrd Field, 167' 110.3 mc., RIC LMM: 201 kc., IC LOM: 210 kc., RI Procedure No. 1 Effective date: June 11, 1953	Richmond LFR	LOM	263— 3.0	1,500	S side SW course: 243 outbound 063 inbound. 1,500' within 5 miles of LOM	1,500	1,370-4.39	370-0 68	T-dn	300-1 0	300-1 0	Climb to 1,500' on course of 063° from LMM, or when directed by ATC, make a left climbing turn, climb to 1,500' on N course Richmond LFR
	Int. N crs. Richmond LFR and SW crs ILS	LOM	243— 3.5	1,500					C-dn	500-1.0	500-1.5	
	Manakin Rbn	LOM	a130—20.0	2,000					S-dn	400-¾	400-¾	
	Chester FM	SW crs ILS	010— 6.0	1,500					0 A-dn	500-2.0	500 2.0	
	Flat Rock VOR	SW crs ILS	120—20.0	1,500								

NOTE: Bearings, headings, courses are magnetic Distances are in statute miles Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.

INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM PROCEDURES—Continued

When an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport(s), it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure(s), unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for such airport(s) Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name; elevation; localizer; frequency and identification; LMM: frequency and identification; LOM: frequency and identification; procedure No	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn: ( ) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intercept ion inbound (ft)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or if landing not accomplished; remarks
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft)			At outer marker	At middle marker	Condition	Type of aircraft: 75 m.p h or less	Type of aircraft: More than 75 m p h	
ST LOUIS, MO. Lambert Field 552 110.3 mc., STL LMM: None LOM: None Procedure No 2 Effective date: July 20 1953	St Louis VOR	Creve Int #	165—10 0	2,000	S side SW course: 238 outbound 058 inbound 2 000′ within 5 miles of Creve Int	1,500 (Min. alt. at Creve Int)	* 4.4 (From Creve Int)	**	T-dn C-dn S-dn 6 A-dn	300-1 0 500-1 0 400-1 0 800-2 0	300-1 0 500-1 5 400-1 0 800-2 0	Climb to 2,000 on 312° course to St Louis VOR, or when directed by ATC, climb to 1 800 on NE course ILS. #Creve Int: Int 345° course to St. Louis VOR and SW course ILS. *Maryland Int: Int. 360° course to St. Louis VOR and SW course ILS Not applicable NOTE: Procedure authorized only for aircraft equipped to receive VOR and ILS simultaneously
	Int. W crs. St. Louis LFR and NE crs ILS	Creve Int #	238—7 0	2 000								
	Maryland Int	Creve Int # (Final)	058—3 2	1 500								
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH Salt Lake City Airport No 1 4 222′ 110.3 mc., SLC LMM: 201 kc LC LOM: 239 kc. SL Procedure No 1 Effective date: February 27 1953	Salt Lake City LFR	N crs ILS	163—0 0	6 000	E or W side S course:* 163 outbound 343 inbound 6 000′ within 5 miles of LOM	6 000	5 560—1 69	4 440—0 71	T-dn C-dn S-dn 34 A-dn	300-1 0 500-1.0 400-¾ 800-2 0	300-1 0 600-1. 5 400-¾ 800-2 0	Climb to cross Salt Lake City LFR at not above 6,500, then climb to 8 000′ on W course Salt Lake City LFR to Stansbury Int., then to 9,000′ on course of 335° to Promontory Point Rbn, or when directed by ATC climb to cross Salt Lake City VOR or LFR at not above 6,500′, then climb to 11 000′ on W course Salt Lake City LFR or on course of 248° from Salt Lake City VOR. *080° reversal procedure turn recommended At pilot s discretion, procedure turn E or W de pending on prevailing cross wind conditions
	Salt Lake City VOR	N crs ILS	143—3 0	6 000								
SEATTLE, WASH. Seattle Tacoma International Airport 416′ 110.3 mc., SEA LMM: 201 kc EA LOM: 224 kc SE Procedure No. 1 Effective date: June 12 1953	Seattle LFR	LOM	176—9 0	2 000	E side S course: 158 outbound 338 inbound 2 000′ within 9 miles of LOM	1 700	1 590—4 60	585—0 65	T dn C-dn S dn 34 A dn	300-1 0 500-1.0 400-¾ 800-2 0	300-1 0 500-1.5 400-¾ 800-2 0	Climb to 2,000′ on NW course Seattle LFR, or climb to 2,000′ on course of 338° from Seattle VOR within 25 miles of respective stations. CAUTION: (1) 500′ terrain and trees located immediately N of airport. (2) 730′ tower located 10 miles SW of LOM
	Lakeview FM	S crs ILS (Final)	005—12 0	1 700								
	Vashon Int	LOM	098—9 0	2 000								
	Seattle VOR	LOM	158—5 0	2 000								
	Hobart FM	LOM	240—17 0	4 000								
SIOUX FALLS, S DAK. Sioux Falls Airport 1 423 109.9 mc., SUJ LMM: 201 kc UJ LOM: 219 kc. SU Procedure No. 1 Effective date: July 9, 1953	Sioux Falls LFR	LOM	175—4 5	2 700	E side SW course: 206 outbound 026 inbound 2 700′ within 5 miles of LOM	2 560	2 560	1 630-0. 70	T-dn C-dn S-dn 3 A-dn	300-1 0 500-1. 0 400-¾ 800-2.0	300-1 0 500-1. 5 400-¾ 800-2. 0	Climb to 2 800′ on NE course ILS
	Sioux Falls VOR	LOM	177—9. 0	2 700								
	Int. NE course Sioux Falls LFR and NE course ILS	LOM	206—8 0	2 700								
	Int. SE course Sioux Falls LFR and SW course ILS	LOM.	206—2.0	2 700								

NOTE: Bearings headings courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.

INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM PROCEDURES—Continued

When an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport(s), it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure(s), unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for such airport(s) Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name; elevation; localizer; frequency and identification; LMM: frequency and identification; LOM: frequency and identification; procedure No	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn: ( ) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intercept ion inbound (ft)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums, or if landing not accomplished; remarks
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft)			At outer marker	At middle marker	Condition	Type of aircraft: 75 m.p.h or less	Type of aircraft: More than 75 m.p.h	
SIOUX FALLS, S DAK. Sioux Falls Airport, 1,423' 109.0 mc, SUJ LMM: LOM: Procedure No. 2* Effective date: July 20, 1953	Sioux Falls LFR	Renner Int #	046—6 0	2,700	W side NE course: 026 outbound 206 inbound 2,200' within 5 miles of Renner Int	2,200 (Min. alt at Renner Int)	**	**	T-dn	300-1 0	300 1 0	Climb to 2,700' on SW course ILS and proceed to LOM. *Procedure authorized only for aircraft equipped to receive VOR and ILS simultaneously ** Not applicable. #Renner Int: Int. NE course ILS and 270° course to Sioux Falls VOR
	Sioux Falls VOR	Renner Int#	090—4 5	2,700			4.0 (From Renner Int)		C-dn	500-1 0	500-1 5	
	Int. 240° crs. to Sioux Falls VOR and NE crs ILS	Renner Int #	206—4.0	2 200					S-dn 21	400-1 0	400-1 0	
									A-dn	800-2 0	800 2 0	
TOPEKA, KANS. Philip Billard Airport, 880' 109.5 mc., TOP LMM: None LOM: 304 kc., TOP (Rbn at OM site). Procedure No. 1 Effective date: April 15, 1953	Int. SE crs. ILS and SW crs Kansas City LFR	Rbn at OM	305—33 0	2,400	N side NW course:* 305 outbound 125 inbound. 2 200' within 5 miles of Rbn at OM	2,100	2035-4.50	1078-0 60	T-dn	300-1 0	300-1 0	Climb to 2 300' on SE course ILS or as directed by ATC. *Procedure turn N to avoid traffic at Forbes AFB
	Forbes LFR	Rbn at OM	357—15 0	2,400					C-dn	500 1.0	600-1.5	
	Int. 252° crs. to Slaina VOR and NW crs Forbes LFR	Rbn at OM	071—16.0	2,400					S-dn 12	400-¾	400-¾	
	Topeka VOR	Rbn at OM	251—7 0	2,200					A-dn	800-2.0	800-2.0	
Procedure No. 2 Effective Date: May 15, 1953	Int. NE crs. Forbes LFR and 249° crs to Zopeka VOR	Powerhouse Int.*	212—13 0	2,200	E side SE course: 125 outbound. 305 inbound. 2,200' within 10 miles of Powerhouse Int #	1,700 (Minimum altitude at Powerhouse Int.)	3.1 (From Powerhouse Int)		T-dn	300 1 0	300-1 0	Climb to 2 400' on NW course ILS, or as directed by ATC. *Powerhouse Int: Int SE course ILS and NE course Forbes LFR. #Procedure turn not authorized beyond 10 miles account conflicting ATC traffic. ##Not applicable
	Topeka Rbn	Powerhouse Int.*	125—9 0	2,400					C-dn	600-1 0	600 1 0	
	Topeka VOR	Powerhouse Int.*	176—7 0	2,200					S-dn 30	600-1 0	600 1.0	
	Int. SE crs. ILS and SW crs Kansas City LFR	Powerhouse Int.*	305—25.0	2,300					A-dn	1,000-2.0 (BCOB)	1,000-2.0 (BCOB)	
	Forbes LFR	Powerhouse Int.*	032—12.0	2,200								
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. Westchester County Airport, 433' 109.7 mc. HPN LMM: 260 kc., PN LOM: 231 kc., HP Procedure No. 1 Effective date: May 1, 1953	Int. S crs. Poughkeepsie LFR and NW crs ILS	Outer marker	162—17 0	2,000	W side NW course: 342 outbound 162 inbound 2,000' within 5 miles of LOM	2,000	1 975-5 63	659-0 53	T-dn	300 1 0	300-1 0	Make standard rate left climbing turn and climb to 2,000' on NW course ILS
	New Rochelle Rbn	Outer marker.	019—18.0	2,000					C-dn	500 1.0	600-1.5	
	Paterson Rbn	Outer marker.	063—24 0	2,000					S-dn 16	400-¾	400-¾	
	Int. NE crs. Newark LFR and 342° brg to LOM	Outer marker	342—8.0	2,000					A-dn	600 2.0	800 2.0	

NOTE: Bearings, headings, courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation

These procedures shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER

(Sec 205 52 Stat 984, as amended; 49 U S C 425 Interpret or apply sec 601, 52 Stat 1007, as amended; 49 U S C 551)

[SEAL]

F B LEE,
Administrator of Civil Aeronautics

[F R Doc 53-9207; Filed, Nov 3, 1953; 8:45 a m]

[Amdt 46]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety of the flying public. Compliance with the notice procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required

Part 609 is amended as follows:

1 The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609 6 are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation
If an LFR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as as set forth below

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	Type aircraft		
								75 m. p. h or less	More than 75 m p h	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
MADISON, WIS Truax, 859' BMRLZ-VDT-MSN Procedure No 1 Nov 8, 1953				E side SE course: 134 outbound. 314 inbound 2 100' within 25 miles	1 600	314—2 3	T-dn C-dn S-dn 31 A-dn	300-1 600-1 500-1 800-2	300-1 600-1½ 500-1 800-2	Within 2.3 miles climb to 3,300 on NW course or make right climbing turn, climb to 2,500' and proceed out N ILS course or on a track of 353° from LOM within 10 miles ADF procedure not authorized
PROVIDENCE, R. I. Green Airport, 56' SBMRLZ-DTV 347 kc.; PVD Procedure No 1 Nov 8 1953	Wyoming FM (Final)	047—12	1,000	W side SW course: 227 outbound 047 inbound. 1 600' within 10 miles 1 700' within 25 miles	1,000	047-3 1	T-dn C-dn S-d S-n 5 A-dn	300-1 500-1 500-1 500-1 800-2	300-1 500-1½ 500-1 500-1½ 800-2	Within 3 1 miles, climb to 1,000 on course 047 M then make a climbing right turn and return to Providence Lfr at 1,700'. Alternate missed approach (when directed by ATC); climb to 2,700' on SW course Squantum Lfr. Deviation from standard authorized in procedure turn which is conducted W to provide separation from traffic at Quonset Point NAS
WILMINGTON, DEL. New Castle County Airport MRLWZ-ILG Procedure No 1 Nov. 9, 1953	79'			E side S course: 198 outbound 018 inbound. Within 10 miles, 1,500 feet Beyond 10 miles N A	1 000	018—2 6	T-dn C-dn S-dn 1 A-dn	300-1 500-1 500-1 1000-2 BCOB	300-1 600-1½ 500-1 1000-2 BCOB	Within 2.6 miles, make a climbing left turn and climb to 1,500' on S course CAUTION: Turn left as soon as practicable to avoid holding pattern at Philadelphia LOM Maintain 1,500' until S of range *Procedure turn within 10 miles to avoid conflict with traffic on Green 5 This procedure not authorized for ADF

2 The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609 9 are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.
If an ADF instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility: class and identification; procedure No; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft)	Course and distance, facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	Type aircraft		
								75 m. p. h or less	More than 75 m p h	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
NEWPORT NEWS, VA Patrick Henry Airport, 39' SBMRAZ-DPT (Langley AFB LFR) LF1 Procedure No 1 Sept 12 1950	PROCEDURE CANCELED, Effective November 9, 1953									

3 The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609 11 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation.
If an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name, elevation; Facility: Class and identification; procedure No; effective date	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope intercept ion inbound (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitudes (ft)			Outer marker	Middle marker	Condition	Type aircraft		
										75 m. p. h or less	More than 75 m p h	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
MADISON, WIS, Truax, 859' ILS-MSN LOM-MS Procedure No. 1 Combination ILS and ADF Nov 8, 1953	Madison LFR	LOM	218—4 0	2,400	E side S course: 178 outbound, 358 inbound. 2,400' within 25 miles	2,400	1,017'-4.8	1,055'-0 7	T-dn	300-1	300-1	4 4 miles after passing LOM (ADF), climb to 2,500' on N course ILS or on track of 358° from LOM, within 10 miles.
	Janesville VOR	LOM	328—37 0	2,400					C-dn	600-1	600-1½	
	Lone Rock VOR	LOM	117—43 0	2,400					S-dn36			
	Lone Rock LFR	LOM	101—44 0	2,400					ILS	400-¾	400-¾	
									ADF	600-1	600-1	
									A-dn			
									ILS	800-2	800-2	
									ADF	1,000-2 BCOB	1,000-2 BCOB	

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation
If an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name, elevation; Facility: Class and identification; procedure No ; effective date	Transition to ILS				Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude at glide slope interception inbound (ft)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—		Ceiling and visibility minimums			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitudes (ft)			Outer marker	Middle marker	Condition	Type aircraft: 75 m. p. h or less	Type aircraft: More than 75 m p h	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
MOLINE, ILL. Quad City 590 ILS-MLI LOM-ML Procedure No. 1 Combination ILS-ADF Nov 8, 1953	Moline VOR	LOM	219—26 0	2 100	S side W. course: 266 outbound 086 inbound. 1 900′ within 20 miles 2 000′ within 25 miles	1 900	1 820′-5 1	785 -0 6	T-dn C-dn S-dn 9 ILS	300-1 600-1 400-¾	300-1 600-1½ 400-¾	5 0 miles after passing LOM (ADF) climb to 2,100′ on course of 086° within 25 miles or when directed by ATC make left climbing turn, climb to 2,100′ and proceed to E course MLI-LFR or to MLI-VOR
	Moline LFR	LOM	174—9 0	2 100								
	Int. E crs. MLI-LFR and 225 brg to LOM	LOM	225—15 0	2 100					ADF	600-1	600-1	
	Int. S crs MLI-LFR and W crs ILS or brg 086 to LOM	LOM	086—0 4	1 900					A-dn ILS	800-2	800-2	
	Int. N crs. BRL-LFR and W crs ILS or brg 086° to LOM (Final)	LOM	086—25 0	1 900					ADF	1,000-2 BCOB	1,000 2 BCOB	
	Moscow Int (Final after Inter W crs ILS	West crs ILS	130—12 0	2 000								
	Moscow Int (Final)	LOM	104—26 0	2,000								
	Iowa City VOR	West crs ILS	095—28 0	2 000								
	Int. 095 crs from IOW-VOR and Int. W crs. ILS or brg 086 to LOM (Final)	LOM	086—25 0	1 900								
SIOUX CITY IOWA Sioux City 1 097′ ILS-SUX LOM-SU Procedure No. 1 ILS and ADF Oct 23 1953	Sioux City LFR	LOM	112—3 0	2,400	. side SE. course; 127 outbound 307 inbound. 2 400′ within 25 miles	2 200	2 190′-4 6	1 290′-0 7	T-dn C-dn	300-1 600-1	300-1 600-1½	4 6 miles after passing LOM (ADF) climb to 2,700′ on NW course ILS within 25 miles of LOM. CAUTION: Terrain 1,318 MSL 1½ miles ENE of airport (No approach lights)
	Sioux City VOR	LOM	083—0 7	2,400					S-dn 31 ILS	400-¾	400-¾	
	Sloan FM	LOM	351—7 0	2,400					ADF	500-1	500-1	
									A-dn ILS	800-2	800-2	
									A-dn ADF	1,000-2 BCOB	1,000-2 BCOB	

These procedures shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER

(Sec 205 52 Stat 984 as amended; 49 U S C 425 Interpret or apply sec 601 52 Stat 1007 as amended; 49 U S C 551)

[SEAL]

F B LEE,
Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

[F R Doc 53-9208; Filed Nov 3 1953; 8:45 a m]

TITLE 22—FOREIGN RELATIONS

Chapter III—International Claims Commission, Department of State

[Dept. Reg. 108.197]

PART 300—RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Pursuant to the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949 (64 Stat. 12; 22 U. S. C. secs. 1621 ff.) and to section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 238; 5 U. S. C. 1003) the International Claims Commission of the United States, having given an opportunity to interested persons to submit their views and other relevant information with respect to the proposed rules of the International Claims Commission of the United States, as the same appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 29, 1953, Vol. 18, No. 190, and having given full consideration to such views and other relevant information, hereby publishes and declares as its rules of practice and procedure, effective on the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the following:

Sec.
300.1 Business hours.
300.2 Definitions.
300.3 Appearance and practice before the Commission.
300.4 Filing of claims.
300.5 Procedure for determination of claims.
300.6 Hearings.
300.7 Service.

AUTHORITY: §§ 300.1 to 300.7 issued under sec. 3, 64 Stat. 13; 22 U. S. C. Sup., 1622. Interpret or apply secs. 4, 5, 7, 64 Stat. 13, 16; 22 U. S. C. Sup., 1623, 1624, 1626.

§ 300.1 *Business hours.* The principal office of the Commission, at Washington, D. C., is open each business day, except Saturdays, from 8:45 a. m. to 5:30 p. m.

§ 300.2 *Definitions.* Terms specifically defined in the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, shall have the same meaning when used in this part.

§ 300.3 *Appearance and practice before the Commission.* (a) An individual may appear in his own behalf; a member of a partnership may represent it; a bona fide officer of a corporation, trust or association may represent the same.

(b) A person may be represented by an attorney at law admitted to practice before the Supreme Court of the United States, the highest court of any State or Territory of the United States, or the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

(c) A person may not be represented before the Commission except as authorized in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(d) Any person appearing for another before or transacting business with the Commission shall file a power of attorney showing his authority so to act.

(e) The Commission may disqualify, and deny, temporarily or permanently, the privilege of appearing or practicing before it in any way to any person who is found after hearing in the matter—

(1) Not to possess the requisite qualifications to represent others before the Commission; or

(2) To be lacking in character or integrity or to have engaged in unethical or improper professional conduct; or

(3) To have violated section 4 (f) of the International Claims Settlement Act.

(f) Contemptuous or contumacious conduct at any hearing shall be ground for exclusion from said hearing and for summary suspension without a hearing for the duration of the hearing.

§ 300.4 *Filing of claims*—(a) *Form and content.* Claims filed with the Commission shall be in writing, the original signed and verified by the claimant, with two copies thereof, and an original and two copies of all accompanying exhibits; shall cite the claims agreement or convention under which, and the foreign government against which, the claim is urged; and shall contain a concise statement of the facts upon which the claim is based, including the following:

(1) Name and address of the claimant.

(2) (Individual) Date and place of birth.

(3) (Corporation) State or county under whose laws the corporation was organized.

(4) The manner (birth, marriage, naturalization, etc.) by which and the date when claimant, if an individual, became a national of the United States and whether such nationality was ever lost.

(5) Whether claimant was the owner of the property or of any rights and interests in and with respect to the property, on the date of nationalization or other taking.

(6) Statement as to the manner by which claimant acquired the property or rights and interests in and with respect to the property taken, including the consideration paid therefor or the valuation thereof, at the time of acquisition.

(7) Description, identification, nature and extent of ownership.

(8) Statement as to the manner by which the property or rights and interests in and with respect to property was nationalized or otherwise taken.

(9) The date of nationalization or other taking.

(10) Valuation at the time of nationalization or other taking.

(11) Whether claimant has previously filed a claim with respect to the same subject matter or a related claim with any foreign government, and if so, the disposition or status of such claim.

(12) Whether claimant has sought, received, or has any reason to expect to receive, any benefits, pecuniary or otherwise, other than any award that may be made upon the claim being filed, on account of the loss resulting from the nationalization or other taking referred to in the claim, setting forth the details.

(13) The amount of the claim.

(b) *Exhibits and documents in support of claim.* (1) If available, such exhibits and documents shall be filed with and at the same time as the claim, and shall, wherever possible, be in the form of original documents, or copies of originals certified as such by their public or other official custodian.

(2) Where claimant desires that the Commission obtain, through the foreign government concerned, evidence, including certified copies of books, records, or other documents, as may be necessary or appropriate to support its claim, it shall include in the statement of claim a request therefor and the following information with reference thereto:

(i) A justification of the relevancy or materiality of the information or documents requested;

(ii) An explanation of why same is not in claimant's possession or is not otherwise obtainable by him;

(iii) Where same is located;

(iv) Names or other identification and locations of witnesses to be questioned, with description of their probable testimony.

(3) Upon good cause shown, the Commission may, subsequent to the filing of the claim, grant a request to obtain evidence such as described in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph.

(c) *Documents in foreign language.* Every document, exhibit or paper filed in a claims proceeding, which is written or printed in a language other than English, shall be accompanied by an English translation thereof duly verified under oath by its translator, with his name and address, to be a true and accurate translation thereof, with an extra copy of such translation to accompany each copy of such document.

(d) *Time for filing.* Claims shall be filed with the Commission on or before June 30, 1951, where based on the Yugoslav Claims Agreement of 1948, or by August 4, 1952, where based on the Claims Convention with Panama, effective October 11, 1950. The Commission may, in its discretion, and for good cause shown, grant an extension of time for filing a claim in any particular case.

(e) *Acknowledgment and docketing.* The Commission will acknowledge the receipt of a claim in writing and will notify the claimant of the docket number assigned to it, which shall be used on all further correspondence and papers filed with regard to it.

(f) *Preparation of papers.* All claims, briefs, and memoranda filed shall be typewritten or printed and, if typewritten, shall be on legal size paper.

§ 300.5 *Procedure for determination of claims.* (a) The Commission may of its own motion order a hearing upon any claim, specifying the questions to which it shall be limited.

(b) Without previous hearing, the Commission may issue a proposed decision in determination of a claim.

(c) Where such proposed decision denies the claim in whole or in part, claimant may within thirty days of service thereof file objections to such denial, assigning the errors relied upon, with accompanying brief in support thereof, and may request a hearing on the claim, specifying whether for the taking of evidence, or only for the hearing of oral argument, upon the errors assigned.

(d) Within fifteen days after receipt of notice of any proposed decision issued

as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, the foreign government concerned may notify the Commission in Washington, or through the diplomatic representative of the United States in the country concerned, of its intention to file a brief as amicus curiae with respect to the claim, and may then file such brief within thirty days after such notice.

(e) Upon the expiration of thirty days after such service or receipt of notice, if no objection under paragraph (c) of this section nor notice of intention under paragraph (d) of this section has in the meantime been filed, such proposed decision shall by further order of the Commission become its final determination and decision upon the claim.

(f) If any such objections or brief have in the meantime been filed, but no hearing requested, the Commission may, after due consideration thereof, affirm or modify its proposed decision as its final decision and determination of the claim, or as its further proposed decision, or may of its own motion order hearing thereon, specifying whether for the taking of evidence and on what questions or only for the hearing of oral argument or the receipt of further briefs or both.

(g) Within five days, or such longer time as the Commission may grant, after the conclusion of a hearing, whether held at claimant's request or on the Commission's own motion, the claimant may, unless it has waived same in the meantime, submit requested findings and conclusions of law, with appropriate supporting references to the record, and supporting brief; and the foreign government concerned may, within the same time, file brief as amicus curiae with regard to the claim.

(h) After the conclusion of a hearing, upon the expiration of any time allowed for the filing of requested findings and briefs under paragraph (g) of this section or upon such filing or waiver thereof meantime, the Commission may proceed to final decision and determination of the claim.

§ 300.6 *Hearings.* (a) Hearings, whether upon the Commission's own motion or upon request of claimant, shall be held upon fifteen days' notice of the time and place thereof and of the questions to which limited, to the claimant and to the foreign government concerned, or sooner upon consent by the claimant and notice thereof to the foreign government concerned.

(b) Such hearings shall be open to the public unless otherwise requested by claimant and ordered by the Commission.

(c) Such hearings shall be conducted by the Commission or a member thereof, presiding. Oral testimony and documentary evidence, including depositions that may have been taken as provided by statute, may be offered in evidence on claimant's behalf, or by counsel for the Commission designated by it to represent the public interest opposed to the allowance of any unjust or unfounded claim or portion thereof; and either may cross-examine as to evidence offered through witnesses on behalf of the other. Objections to the admission of any such evidence shall be ruled upon by the presiding officer.

(d) The claimant shall be the moving party, and shall have the burden of proof on all issues involved in the determination of its claim.

(e) Hearings shall be stenographically reported by a reporter designated by the Commission and a transcript thereof shall be part of the record. Where the hearing is ordered at claimant's request, the cost of such reporting and transcription may be charged to and deducted from any award made in its favor. Where ordered at the Commission's own motion, such cost shall be borne by the Commission.

(f) Witness fees and mileage, in connection with attendance upon hearings or upon the taking of depositions, and fees of persons taking depositions, to the extent authorized by statute and not already covered by deposits made against the same under paragraph (g) of this section, shall be charged to and deducted from any award made in favor of the party at whose instance the witness appears or the deposition is taken.

(g) Before issuing a subpoena or ordering the taking of a deposition at the instance of a claimant, the Commission will require the deposit of an amount adequate to cover the estimated total of fees and mileage involved.

§ 300.7 *Service.* (a) Service of notices required under this part to be furnished to claimants may be accomplished by mailing to it or to its counsel of record, at their last address of record, by registered mail, return receipt requested, copy of the matter to be noticed; and the date of such service shall be that of acknowledgment of its receipt by such return receipt.

(b) The requirement of notice may also be satisfied by waiver or acknowledgment of same signed by claimant personally or by its representative or counsel of record.

Dated at Washington, D. C., October 29, 1953.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. B. TEEGARDEN,
Acting Chairman.
GEORGE W SPANGLER,
Acting Commissioner

[F. R. Doc. 53-9311; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:52 a. m.]

PART 301—SPECIAL RULES FOR CLAIMS UNDER THE YUGOSLAV CLAIMS AGREEMENT OF 1948

PART 302—SPECIAL RULES FOR CLAIMS UNDER ARTICLE I (C) AND ARTICLE II (C) OF THE CLAIMS CONVENTION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE REPUBLIC OF PANAMA, WHICH WENT INTO EFFECT ON OCTOBER 11, 1950

SUPERSEDURE OF PARTS

CROSS REFERENCE: Parts 301 and 302 of this chapter are superseded by the revised Part 300—Rules of Practice and Procedure, *supra.*

TITLE 32A—NATIONAL DEFENSE, APPENDIX

Chapter I—Office of Defense Mobilization

[General Administrative Order—I-2, Revised]

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS UNDER TITLE IV OF THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED

SECTION 1. The purpose of this General Administrative Order is to provide for the conduct and orderly completion of administrative proceedings arising under section 405, 407 and 408 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, which function has been made the responsibility of the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization by Executive Order 10494 of October 14, 1953 (18 F R. 6585)

SEC. 2. Subject to such supervision as the Director may deem appropriate, the General Counsel of the Office of Defense Mobilization is hereby authorized to carry out the functions delegated to the Director by Executive Order 10494. For these purposes the General Counsel is also designated as Assistant Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization. The term "functions" includes powers, duties, authority, responsibilities, and discretion.

SEC. 3. The orders of the Economic Stabilization Agency which are relevant to the conduct and completion of administrative proceedings under sections 405, 407 and 408 of the act, particularly ESA General Order 15 and 18, as amended (17 F R. 2994, 6925, and 9977) ESA General Procedural Regulation 1, Revised, as amended (17 F R. 7737, 18 F R. 1663) and OPS Price Procedural Regulation 1, Revision 2, as amended (17 F R. 3787 and 9935) are hereby adopted and incorporated herein by reference.

SEC. 4. This General Administrative Order shall become effective November 1, 1953.

OFFICE OF DEFENSE MOBILIZATION,
ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,
Director

[F. R. Doc. 53-9362; Filed, Nov. 2, 1953; 4:13 p. m.]

Chapter VI—Business and Defense Services Administration, Department of Commerce

[BDSA Designation of Scarce Materials 1 (Formerly NPA Designation of Scarce Materials 1)—Revocation]

DSM-1—DESIGNATION OF SCARCE MATERIALS

REVOCATION

BDSA DSM-1 (formerly NPA DSM-1), as amended June 18, 1953 (18 F R. 3566) is hereby revoked.

This revocation does not relieve any person of any obligation or liability in-

curred under BDSA DSM-1 (formerly NPA DSM-1) as originally issued or as thereafter amended, nor deprive any person of any rights received or accrued under said designation prior to the effective date of this revocation.

(64 Stat. 816, Pub. Law 95, 83d Cong.; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 2154)

This revocation is effective November 2, 1953.

BUSINESS AND DEFENSE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION,
By WILLIAM E. HAINES,
Assistant Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9360; Filed, Nov. 2, 1953; 2:56 p. m.]

Chapter XXI—Defense Rental Areas Division, Office of Defense Mobilization

[Rent Regulation 1, Amdt. 165 to Schedule A]

[Rent Regulation 2, Amdt. 163 to Schedule A]

RR 1—HOUSING

RR 2—ROOMS IN ROOMING HOUSES AND OTHER ESTABLISHMENTS

SCHEDULE A—DEFENSE-RENTAL AREAS

OHIO

Effective November 4, 1953, Rent Regulation 1 and Rent Regulation 2 are amended so that the item of Schedule A indicated below reads as set forth below.

(Sec. 204, 61 Stat. 197, as amended; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 1894)

Issued this 30th day of October 1953.

GLENWOOD J. SHERRARD,
Director,
Defense Rental Areas Division.

State and name of defense-rental area	Class	County or counties in defense-rental area under regulation	Maximum rent date	Effective date of regulation
Ohio				
(236a) Portsmouth-Chillicothe.	B	SCIOTO COUNTY, except the townships of Brush Creek, Madison, Rarden, and Vernon.	Jan. 1, 1946	Oct. 1, 1946
	C	do	Aug. 1, 1952	Nov. 6, 1952
	A	In SCIOTO COUNTY, the townships of Brush Creek, Madison, Rarden, and Vernon.	do	Do.

This amendment decontrols a part of the Portsmouth-Chillicothe Defense Rental Area on the initiative of the Director, Defense Rental Areas Division, Office of Defense Mobilization, under section 204 (c) of the act.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9359; Filed, Nov. 2, 1953; 2:21 p. m.]

[Rent Regulation 3, Amdt. 155 to Schedule A]

[Rent Regulation 4, Amdt. 99 to Schedule A]

RR 3—HOTELS

RR 4—MOTOR COURTS

SCHEDULE A—DEFENSE-RENTAL AREAS

OHIO

Effective November 4, 1953, Rent Regulation 3 and Rent Regulation 4 are amended so that the item of Schedule A indicated below reads as set forth below.

(Sec. 204, 61 Stat. 197, as amended; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 1894)

Issued this 30th day of October 1953.

GLENWOOD J. SHERRARD,
Director,
Defense Rental Areas Division.

Name of defense-rental area	State	County or counties in defense-rental area under regulation	Maximum rent date	Effective date of regulation
(236a) Portsmouth-Chillicothe.	Ohio	SCIOTO	Aug. 1, 1952	Nov. 6, 1952

This amendment decontrols a part of the Portsmouth-Chillicothe Defense Rental Area on the initiative of the Director, Defense Rental Areas Division, Office of Defense Mobilization, under section 204 (c) of the act.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9358; Filed, Nov. 2, 1953; 2:21 p. m.]

TITLE 36—PARKS, FORESTS, AND MEMORIALS

Chapter I—National Park Service, Department of the Interior

PART 20—SPECIAL REGULATIONS

SEQUOIA-KINGS CANYON NATIONAL PARKS; FISHING

1. Subparagraph (3) of paragraph (e) *Fishing* of § 20.8 *Sequoia-Kings Canyon National Parks* is amended to read as follows:

(3) A California State fishing license is required of all persons 16 years of age or over fishing in the Parks.

2. Subdivision (ii) of subparagraph (4) of paragraph (e) *Fishing* of § 20.8 *Sequoia-Kings Canyon National Parks* is amended to read as follows:

(ii) On the watershed of the Marble Fork of the Kaweah River: Deer Creek from the foot bridge on the Sunset-Village Trail to source, except to children 10 years of age or younger; that section of Wolverton Creek from the dam up to the first posted water supply sign, except to persons 15 years of age or younger; that section of Wolverton Creek from point where water supply signs are posted to source; and Silliman Creek from Generals Highway to source at outlet of Silliman Lakes.

(Sec. 3, 39 Stat. 535, as amended; 16 U. S. C. 3)

Issued this 29th day of October 1953.

ORME LEWIS,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9280; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:45 a. m.]

TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 8333]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

DAYTIME SKYWAVE TRANSMISSIONS OF STANDARD BROADCAST STATIONS

In the matter of promulgation of rules and regulations and Standards of Good Engineering Practice concerning daytime skywave transmissions for standard broadcast stations; Docket No. 8333; and in the matter of amendment of § 1.371 of the Commission's rules and regulations.

1. The Commission has under consideration an order in the above-entitled case adopted on August 10, 1953 and footnote 10b to § 1.371 of its rules and regulations relating to the acceptance of applications.

2. Footnote 10b of § 1.371, as adopted in the above order, provides that the processing of applications for unlimited time Class II stations which would operate differently in the daytime than at nighttime, on the clear channels specified in § 3.25 (a) [1] and (b) will be with-

[1] This section was included in error since unlimited time Class II stations are not assigned the frequencies specified therein.

held pending a conclusion of the proceeding in Docket 8333.

3. It now appears that certain types of applications which do not come within the scope of the daytime skywave proceeding in Docket 8333, are inadvertently encompassed within footnote 10b. For example, applications for changes in the nighttime operation of Class II stations presently operating on the frequencies set forth in § 3.25 (b) under the footnote as presently written, would be needlessly held without action. In order to provide for the processing of such applications we find it necessary to amend the provisions presently contained in subparagraph (d) of footnote 10b. Desirable editorial changes have also been made in the footnote.

4. The amendment adopted herein is procedural in nature, and, pursuant to the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act, may be adopted and made effective immediately.

5. In view of the above: *It is ordered,* That pursuant to sections 4 (i) 303 (c) (f) (h) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, is amended, effective immediately footnote 10b of § 1.371 is amended to read as follows:

[10b] Pending conclusion of the proceeding in Docket No. 8333 action will be withheld on the following:

(1) Applications proposing daytime or limited time assignments on any of the frequencies specified in § 3.25 (a) and (b) of this chapter;

(2) Applications from existing daytime or limited time stations presently assigned to any of the frequencies specified in § 3.25 (a) and (b), of this chapter, proposing (a) a change in operation resulting in an increase in radiation towards the normally protected contour of a United States Class I station on the channel; or (b) proposing a change in transmitter location resulting in a material reduction in the distance from that station to the normally protected contour of a United States Class I station on the channel;

(3) Applications for new stations, and those for changes in frequency assignment, proposing unlimited time Class II assignments on any of the frequencies specified in § 3.25 (b) of this chapter, which would operate differently during the day than at night;

(4) Applications for changes, other than frequency, of unlimited time Class II stations on any of the frequencies specified in § 3.25 (b), of this chapter, where the resulting daytime and nighttime operations are different; and either

(a) It is proposed to change daytime operation resulting in an increase in radiation towards the normally protected contour of a United States Class I station on the channel; or

(b) It is proposed to change transmitter location resulting in a material reduction in the distance from that station to the normally protected contour of a United States Class I station on the channel.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066 as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 303)

Adopted. October 29, 1953.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F R. Doc. 53-9321; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10572]

PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

ANTENNA SYSTEMS; SHOWING REQUIRED

In the matter of amendment of Part 3 (Radio Broadcast Services) of the Commission's rules and regulations; Docket No. 10572.

1. The Commission has under consideration its notice of proposed rule making (FCC 53-830) issued July 6, 1953, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 11, 1953 (18 F R. 4077) which proposes to amend § 3.33 of the Commission's rules to require all applications for standard broadcast stations to specify a definite site.

2. Two comments have been received. In its opposition, Fred W Grimwood and Company Inc., contends that the adoption of the proposed rule would discourage the construction of many "small town" standard broadcast stations since the majority of such stations "are started by local interests who have the minimum of financial backing" and who are therefore greatly benefited by the ability to "obtain a construction permit without tying up large amounts of their capital in land." It is asserted that after grant of their applications for construction permit, such stations can rally local support and "proceed much more readily with construction." The opposition concludes that "the difficulties presented to the small town broadcaster" far outweigh "the small technical advantages of filing with a specific site."

3. Mercer Broadcasting Company asserts that the requirement proposed in these proceedings should have been effected simply by the issuance of a notice rather than by the institution of proposed rule making. Our recent decision in In re Application of Mercer Broadcasting Company 9 Pike & Fischer, RR 357, makes it unnecessary to consider the contentions made by Mercer Broadcasting Company. That decision established the propriety of employing the rule making procedure to effect the proposed change.

4. With respect to the comments of Fred W Grimmood and Company, we recognize that the proposed new procedure may have some disadvantages from the viewpoint of the "small town" applicant of limited financial means who will now probably have the added expense of an option on the desired site. But for the reasons set out in our notice of July 6th—the reduction of the unnecessary additional workload imposed upon the Commission at a time when its processes are already heavily taxed and the elimination of the uncertainties inherent in the original grant when the site-to-be-determined application is employed, we conclude that adoption of the outstanding proposal is in the public interest.

5. Site-to-be-determined applications now on file with the Commission will be processed on that basis. All applications for authority to install a standard broadcast antenna filed after the effective date of this order must specify a definite site. As to the latter date, it is believed, because of the workload factor and the desirability of expediting the processing of applications, that the subject amendments should be made effective immediately.

6. Authority for the adoption of the amendment herein is contained in sections 4 (i) 303 (f) and 303 (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

7. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered,* That, effective immediately, § 3.33 of the Commission's rules is amended to read as follows:

§ 3.33 *Antenna systems; showing required.* (a) An application for authority to install a broadcast antenna shall specify a definite site [11] and include full details of the antena design and expected performance.

(b) All data necessary to show compliance with the terms and conditions of the construction permit must be filed with the license application. If the station is using a directional antenna, a proof of performance must also be filed.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066 as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 303)

Adopted: October 28, 1953.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9322; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10686]

PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

In the matter of amendment of § 3.606, table of assignments, rules governing television broadcast stations; Docket No. 10686.

1. The Commission has under consideration its notice of proposed rule making issued on September 11, 1953 (FCC 53-1169) and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 17, 1953 (18 F R. 5571), proposing to assign Channel 43 to Corpus Christi, Texas,

2. The time for filing comments in this proceeding expired October 13, 1953. No comments were filed opposing the assignment of Channel 43 to Corpus Christi, Texas. The Commission finds that the assignment of Channel 43 to Corpus Christi would comply with the Commission's rules and that a finalization of the proposal would serve the public interest since it would provide a second commercial UHF assignment and better balance the relationship between the UHF and VHF service in the area.

3. Authority for the adoption of the amendment is contained in sections 4 (i) 301, 303 (c) (d) (f), and (r) and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

4. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered,* That effective 30 days from publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the table of assignments contained in § 3.606 of

[11] Site-to-be-determined applications which were on file prior to October 28, 1953, may be granted conditioned upon the filing within 60 days of such grant of an application for modification of permit specifying a site conforming to Commission's rules and standards.

the Commission's rules and regulations is amended as follows:

City	Channel No.
Corpus Christi, Tex.	6+ 10— *16+ 22, 43

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066 as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies secs. 301, 303, 307, 48 Stat. 1081, 1082, as amended, 1084; 47 U. S. C. 301, 303, 307)

Adopted: October 28, 1953.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9323; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10687]

PART 3—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

In the matter of amendment of § 3.606, *Table of assignments,* rules governing television broadcast stations; Docket No. 10687.

1. The Commission has under consideration its notice of proposed rule making issued on September 9, 1953 (FCC 53-1171) and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 17, 1953 (18 F R. 5572) proposing to assign Channel 50 to Washington, D. C.

2. The time for filing comments in this proceeding expired October 13, 1953. No comments were filed opposing the assignment of Channel 50 to Washington, D. C. The Commission finds that the assignment of Channel 50 to Washington, D. C., would comply with the Commission's rules, and that a finalization of the proposal would serve the public interest since it would provide a second commercial UHF assignment and better balance the relationship between the UHF and VHF service in the area.

3. Authority for the adoption of the amendment is contained in sections 4 (i) 301, 303 (c) (d) (f) and (r) and 307 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

4. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered,* That effective 30 days from publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the table of assignments contained in § 3.606 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended as follows:

1. Amend the table to read:

City:	Channel No.
Washington, D. C.	4— 5— 7+ 9—, 20+ *26—, 50—

2. Change the Channel 50 assignment in Rocky Mount, N. C., from 50 to 50+

3. Change the Channel 50 assignment in Marion, Va., from 50— to 50.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066 as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interprets or applies secs. 301, 303, 307, 48 Stat. 1081, 1082, as amended, 1084; 47 U. S. C. 301, 303, 307)

Adopted: October 28, 1953.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9324; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10647]

PART 4—EXPERIMENTAL AND AUXILIARY BROADCAST SERVICES

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

In the matter of amendment of Part 4 of the Commission's rules and regulations governing auxiliary broadcast services; Docket No. 10647.

1. The Commission has under consideration its notice of proposed rule making (FCC 53-1075) of August 21, 1953, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 28, 1953, proposing to amend Part 4 of the Commission's rules so as to make provision for FM inter-city relay stations in the band 940-952 Mc.

2. The Commission finds that the proposed amendments are necessary in order to provide for FM inter-city relay stations on the frequencies allocated for this purpose and would be in the public interest. The time for filing comments in this proceeding expired on September 24, 1953. No comments opposing the amendments were filed.

3. Authority for the adoption of the proposed amendments set out in the attached appendix is contained in sections 4 (i) 303, (a) (b) (c) (f) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended.

4. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered,* That effective 30 days from the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Part 4 of the Commission's rules and regulations Governing Auxiliary Broadcast Services is amended as set out below.

Adopted: October 28, 1953.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

Part 4 of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended as follows:

1. In § 4.1 delete paragraph (b) (2) and substitute the following:

(2) Broadcast STL and FM inter-city relay (Subpart E).

2. In § 4.11 insert the term FM intercity relay, between the terms "broadcast STL" and "experimental facsimile" in the first sentence of this section.

3. Change the title of Subpart E to "Broadcast STL and FM Inter-City Relay Stations."

4. Change the paragraph designators (c) and (d) in § 4.501 respectively to (d) and (e) and insert a new paragraph (c) as follows:

(c) FM inter-city relay station: A fixed station used for the transmission of FM broadcasting programs from one FM broadcasting station to other FM broadcasting stations to provide simultaneous network FM broadcasting and operated only by FM broadcast licensees.

5. Add a new paragraph (d) to § 4.502 to read as follows:

(d) FM inter-city relay stations may be licensed on any of the frequencies listed in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, subject to the condition that no harmful interference is caused to stations operating in accordance with the Table of Frequency Allocations contained in § 2.104 (a) of this chapter.

6. Delete present § 4.531 and substitute the following:

§ 4.531 *Licensing requirements.* (a) An FM broadcast STL station will be licensed only to the licensee of an FM broadcasting station as an auxiliary to a particular FM broadcasting station of that licensee.

(b) A standard broadcast STL station will be licensed only to the licensee of a standard broadcast station as an auxiliary to a particular standard broadcast station of that licensee.

(c) An FM intercity relay station will be licensed only to the licensee of an FM broadcast station and only upon a satisfactory showing that suitable common carrier facilities are not available. An application for construction permit for a new FM intercity relay station or for renewal of license of an existing station shall be accompanied by a verified statement containing the following:

(1) A full statement as to why the applicant requires the requested facilities including reasons why common carrier facilities cannot be utilized; and,

(2) A showing that the applicant has, at the earliest time reasonably practicable, requested the appropriate common carrier or common carriers serving the general area involved to furnish the intercity FM transmission service required by the applicant, including in such showing a copy of the request or requests and of the reply or replies received from such common carriers.

(d) More than one broadcast STL station or FM intercity relay stations will be licensed for use with single broadcast station only upon a showing that, (1) more than one transmitter is required for the effective operation of a single STL or intercity relay circuit due to distance of transmission, terrain anomalies, or similar circumstances; or, (2) more than one STL circuit is needed to connect additional studios or more than one FM intercity relay circuit is needed to connect additional FM broadcast stations in the network; and it is shown that the nature and extent of use of such additional circuits is such as to justify their authorization.

(e) Each station shall be licensed at a fixed location and the direction of radiation of the antenna shall be fixed.

7. Delete paragraphs (b) and (c) and footnote 2 of § 4.532 and substitute the following:

(b) The license of an FM intercity relay station authorizes the relaying of FM broadcast programs and communications relating thereto between FM broadcasting stations located in different cities in order to provide network FM broadcasting. The operation of FM intercity relay stations is subject to the condition that no harmful interference is caused to other radio stations, present or future, operating in accordance with the Table of Frequency Allocations set forth in § 2.104 (a) of this chapter.

(c) Each FM broadcast STL station, standard broadcast STL station, or FM intercity relay station will be licensed for unlimited time operation.

(d) During periods in which it is not a part of the broadcast circuit, the transmitting equipment may be used for the transmission of communications which pertain to the broadcast operations.[2] Superfluous transmissions are not permitted.

8. Delete § 4.533 and substitute the following:

§ 4.533 *Remote control and unattended operation.* (a) Broadcast STL or FM intercity relay stations may be operated by remote control: *Provided,* That such operation is conducted in accordance with the conditions listed in this section: *And provided further* That the Commission is notified at least 10 days prior to such operation and that such notification is accompanied by a detailed description of the proposed remote control installation showing the manner of compliance with the following conditions:

(1) The operating position shall be under the control and supervision of the licensee and shall be the place at which a licensed operator meeting the requirements of § 4.565 and responsible for the operation of the transmitter is stationed;

(2) A carrier operated device shall be provided at the operating position which shall give a continuous visual indication when the transmitter is radiating; or, in lieu thereof, a device shall be provided which will give a continuous visual indication when any transmitter control circuits have been placed in a condition to produce radiation;

(3) Facilities shall be provided at the operating position which will permit the operator to turn the transmitter carrier on and off at will; and

(4) The transmitter and all of its operating controls shall be so installed and protected that they are not accessible to other than authorized personnel.

(b) FM intercity relay stations, and broadcast STL stations where the circuit requires the use of more than one STL transmitter, may be operated unattended: *Provided,* That such operation is conducted in accordance with the conditions listed below: *And provided further,* That the Commission is notified at least 10 days prior to the beginning of such operation and that such notification is accompanied by a detailed description of the proposed installation showing the manner of compliance with the following conditions:

(1) The transmitter is capable of retransmitting by self-actuating means a radio signal received from another radio station or stations;

(2) The transmitter shall be provided with adequate safeguards to prevent improper operation of the equipment;

(3) The transmitter shall be so installed and protected that it is not accessible to other than duly authorized persons;

(4) Appropriate observations shall be made, at intervals not exceeding one hour during the period of its operations, at the receiving end of the circuit by a person holding a valid first or second class radiotelephone operator license who shall immediately institute measures sufficient to assure prompt correction of any condition of improper operation that is observed; and

(5) The station licensee shall remain responsible for the proper operation of the station, and all adjustments or tests during or coincident with the installation, servicing, or maintenance of the station which may affect its proper operation, shall be performed by or under the immediate supervision and responsibility of a person holding a valid first or second class radiotelephone operator license.

(c) The Commission may notify the licensee not to commence remote control or unattended operation, or to cancel, suspend, or change the date of the beginning of such operation as and when such action may appear to be in the public interest, convenience and necessity.

9. a. Substitute the term Broadcast STL and FM intercity relay stations for the term "Broadcast STL Stations" in the following sections: § 4.534, first sentence; § 4.535 (a) first sentence;

b. Substitute the term broadcast STL or FM intercity relay station for the term "broadcast STL station" in the following sections: § 4.536, first sentence; § 4.551, first sentence; § 4.561, first sentence; § 4.562, first sentence; § 4.563, first sentence; § 4.581, first sentence; § 4.582, first sentence.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interpret or apply sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 303)

[F. R. Doc. 53-9325; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:55 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10710]

PART 7—STATIONS ON LAND IN THE MARITIME SERVICE

PART 8—STATIONS ON SHIPBOARD IN THE MARITIME SERVICE

AVAILABILITY OF FREQUENCIES FOR ASSIGNMENT TO RADIONAVIGATION (DEVELOPMENTAL) STATIONS

In the matter of amendment of Parts 7 and 8 of the Commission's rules regarding availability of frequencies for assignment to radionavigation (developmental) stations, Docket No. 10710.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration its proposal in the above-entitled matter to amend §§ 7.503 and 8.433 of its rules by adding thereto certain frequencies for shore and ship radionavigation (developmental) stations; and

It appearing, that in accordance with the requirements of section 4 (a) of the Administrative Procedure Act, notice of proposed rule making in Docket No. 10710 which made provision for submission of certain comments by interested parties was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 6, 1953 (18 F. R. 6358), and

It further appearing, that the period in which interested persons were afforded opportunity to comment has expired; and

It further appearing, that no objection, as such, was filed to the proposed amendments; however, Aeronautical Radio, Inc., filed a comment stating that it does not oppose the proposed amendments, providing similar action is taken to include in Part 9 of the Commission's rules governing Aviation Services the frequency bands available for assignment to developmental stations of the aircraft and aeronautical radionavigation services, and requested that the said Part 9 be amended accordingly; and

It further appearing, that Aeronautical Radio, Inc.'s, request is receiving consideration but that such consideration is not relevant to the proceedings in Docket No. 10710; and

It further appearing, that the public interest, convenience and necessity will be served by the amendments herein ordered, the authority for which is contained in section 303 (c), (f) (g), and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended; and

It further appearing, that the rule amendments herein ordered are urgently necessary to permit processing of pending applications and therefore should be made available for licensing immediately;

It is ordered, That, effective immediately, Parts 7 and 8 of the Commission's rules are amended as set forth below.

Released: October 29, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

1. Amend § 7.503 by the addition of paragraph (c) reading as follows:

(c) In addition to the specific frequency bands designated by § 7.402 for shore radionavigation stations, each of the following frequencies or frequency bands may be licensed as an assigned frequency or an authorized frequency band for use by developmental shore radionavigation stations:

Authorized frequency band	*Assigned frequency*
2900 to 3000 Mc.	
3246 to 3266 Mc.	3256 Mc (Racons only).
3266 to 3300 Mc.	
5250 to 5440 Mc.	
5440 to 5460 Mc.	5450 Mc (Racons only).
8500 to 9300 Mc.	
9300 to 9320 Mc.	9310 Mc (Racons only).
9500 to 9800 Mc.	

2. Amend § 8.433 by addition of paragraph (c) reading as follows:

(c) In addition to specific frequency bands designated by § 8.404 for ship radionavigation stations, each of the following frequencies or frequency bands may be licensed as an assigned frequency

[2] If the transmitter and receiver are equipped with a multiplex circuit, communications during broadcast periods may be authorized upon application therefor. Such a circuit, if used, shall be designed and operated in a manner which will not cause spurious emissions or derogation of the program transmission. Studio to transmitter and transmitter to studio communication may also be provided by equipment operated under the remote pickup broadcast station rules.

or an authorized frequency band for use by developmental ship radionavigation stations:

Authorized frequency band	*Assigned frequency*
2900 to 3000 Mc.	
3246 to 3266 Mc.	3256 Mc (Racons only).
3266 to 3300 Mc.	
5250 to 5440 Mc.	
5440 to 5460 Mc.	5450 Mc (Racons only).
8500 to 9300 Mc.	
9300 to 9320 Mc.	9310 Mc (Racons only).
9500 to 9800 Mc.	

(Sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 303)

[F. R. Doc. 53-9326; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:55 a. m.]

TITLE 50—WILDLIFE

Chapter I—Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

Subchapter B—Hunting and Possession of Wildlife

PART 6—MIGRATORY BIRDS AND CERTAIN GAME MAMMALS

COMPENSATORY EXTENSIONS OF CERTAIN MIGRATORY GAME BIRD SEASONS

Basis and purpose. Requests have been received from the States of Tennessee and West Virginia for the extension of the migratory game bird hunting seasons by the number of days sportsmen were not permitted to hunt such birds due to emergency State action closing extensive areas to shooting as a forest fire prevention measure. It has been determined that the slight compensatory extensions sought are not likely to result in a diminution of the birds to any greater extent than was contemplated for the original period.

Accordingly, pursuant to authority conferred upon me by § 6.4 of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act Regulations (16 F. R. 7513) the seasons fixed by the amendments to such regulations approved during July, August and September 1953 (18 F. R. 4421, 4891, 5175 and 5495) are, subject to shooting hours and other applicable provisions of the current Federal regulations, extended as follows:

In Tennessee, mourning doves from October 30 to November 11, 1953, both dates inclusive, an extension of 13 days.

In West Virginia, woodcock from October 29 to November 30; waterfowl and coot from October 29 to December 26, all dates inclusive. The seasons in this State were closed from October 1 to October 28 inclusive.

(Sec. 3, 40 Stat. 755, as amended; 16 U. S. C. 704)

Since these amendments are relaxations of existing regulations, notice and public procedure thereon are not required (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001, et seq.) and they shall become effective immediately.

ALBERT M. DAY,
Acting Director
Fish and Wildlife Service.

OCTOBER 28, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9281; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Parts 10, 11, 16]

[Docket No. 10500]

PUBLIC SAFETY, INDUSTRIAL, AND LAND TRANSPORTATION RADIO SERVICES

OPERATIONAL FIXED STATIONS; WITHDRAWAL OF NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS

In the matter of amendments to Parts 10, 11, and 16 of the Commission's rules to establish certain new provisions regarding operational fixed stations operating on frequencies above 890 Mc,, *Docket No. 10500.*

1. The Commission has had under consideration a notice of proposed rule making adopted May 13, 1953, in the above-entitled matter and comments filed relative thereto. In the light of the comments and in further consideration of the problems relating to the licensing of private microwave radio systems, it would appear desirable to defer action on the promulgation of rules until an overall policy can be adopted.

2. The Commission's staff is undertaking a study of the entire field of licensing policy for private microwave systems. Similar investigations are being conducted by representatives of manufacturers and user groups. Since a study of the magnitude contemplated will undoubtedly require a minimum of a year, there appears to be little reason why the outstanding docket should be continued. In the interim the Commission will continue to license microwave stations on a developmental basis in accordance with existing policies.

3. In view of the above: *It is ordered,* This 28th day of October 1953, that the notice of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter be withdrawn and the proceedings in Docket 10500 are terminated.

Released: October 29, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9327; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:55 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

CALIFORNIA

CLASSIFICATION ORDER

OCTOBER 28, 1953.

1. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Regional Administrator, Region II, Bureau of Land Management, by Order No. 1, Amendment No. 2, dated January 29, 1953 (18 F. R. 23), I hereby classify under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609) as amended July 14, 1945 (59 Stat. 467, 43 U. S. C. 682a) as hereinafter indicated, the following described lands in the Los Angeles land district, embracing approximately 180 acres,

CALIFORNIA SMALL TRACT CLASSIFICATION No. 388

For lease and sale for homesite purposes only,

T. 9 N., R. 2 W., S. B. M.,
Sec. 11, W½NE¼NE¼, NW¼NE¼, S½ NE¼, SW¼NW¼.

The lands are located near the town of Barstow in San Bernardino County, California. State Highway U. S. 66-91 crosses the northwestern part of Section 11, and access to these lands can be obtained from the highway. The topography is level to rolling and somewhat dissected by dry washes.

2. As to applications regularly filed prior to 11:20 a. m., December 10, 1952, which describe tracts in accordance with the provisions contained herein, this order shall be effective immediately.

3. This order shall not otherwise become effective to change the status of such lands until 10:00 a. m. on the 35th day after the date of this order. At that time the said lands shall, subject to valid existing rights and the provisions of existing withdrawals, become subject to applications under the Small Tract Act as follows:

(a) *Ninety-one day period for preference-right filings.* For a period of 91 days, commencing at the hour and on the day specified above, the public lands affected by this order shall be subject only to application under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938, 52 Stat. 609 (43 U. S. C. 682a) as amended, by qualified veterans of World War II, subject to the requirements of applicable law. All applications filed under this paragraph either at or before 10:00 a. m. on the 35th day after the date of this order shall be treated as though filed simultaneously at that time. All applications filed under this paragraph after 10:00 a. m. on the said 35th day shall be considered in the order of filing.

(b) *Date for non-preference-right filings.* Commencing at 10:00 a. m. on the 126th day after the date of this order, any lands remaining unappropriated shall become subject to disposal under the Small Tract Act only. All such applications filed either at or before 10:00 a. m. on the 126th day after the date of this order, shall be treated as though filed simultaneously at the hour

specified on such 126th day. All applications filed thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

4. A veteran shall accompany his application with a complete photostatic, or other copy (both sides) of his certificate of honorable discharge, or of an official document of his branch of the service which shows clearly his honorable discharge as defined in § 181.36 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or constitutes evidence of other facts upon which the claim for preference is based and which shows clearly the period of service. Other persons claiming credit for service of veterans must furnish like proof in support of their claims. Persons asserting preference rights, through settlement or otherwise, and those having equitable claims, shall accompany their application by duly corroborated statements in support thereof, setting forth in detail all facts relevant to their claims.

5. All of the lands will be leased in tracts of 1¼ acres, with approximate dimensions of 330 feet east and west and 165 feet north and south, each tract forming an aliquot part of the official survey of the section.

6. Preference right leases referred to in paragraph 2 will be issued only if the tract applied for conforms to or is amended to conform to the area, dimensions and orientation specified in paragraph 5.

7. Leases will be issued for a period of three years at an annual rental of $5 payable for the entire lease period in advance of issuance of the lease. Leases will contain an option to purchase clause at the appraised value of $150 per tract. Application to purchase may be filed during the term of the lease but not more than 30 days prior to the expiration of one year from the date of the lease issuance.

8. Tracts will be subject to all existing rights-of-way and to rights-of-way 33 feet in width along the boundaries thereof for road purposes and public utilities. Such rights-of-way may be utilized by the Federal Government, or the State, County or municipality in which the tract is situated, or by any agency thereof. The rights-of-way may, in the discretion of the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management, be definitely located prior to the issuance of the patent. If not so located, they may be subject to location after patent is issued.

9. All inquiries relating to these lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Los Angeles, California.

E. I. ROWLAND,
Regional Chief,
Division of Lands.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9283; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

Bureau of Reclamation

HASSAYAMPA PROJECT, ARIZONA

ORDER OF REVOCATION

JULY 27, 1953.

Pursuant to the authority delegated by Departmental Order No. 2515, of April 7, 1949 (14 F R. 1937) I hereby revoke Departmental Order of April 7, 1944, insofar as said order affects the following described lands: *Provide, however* That such revocation shall not affect the withdrawal of any other lands by said orders or affect any other orders withdrawing or reserving the land hereinafter decribed.

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

T. 7 N., R. 4 W.,
Sec. 20, E½SE¼.

The above area aggregates 80 acres.

G. W LINEWEAVER,
Assistant Commissioner

I concur. The records of the Bureau of Land Management will be noted accordingly.

This revocation is made in furtherance of an exchange under section 8 of the act of June 28, 1934, as amended by section 3 of the act of June 26, 1936 (48 Stat. 1272, 49 Stat. 1976, 43 U. S. C. 315g) by which the offered lands will benefit a Federal land program. This restoration is, therefore, not subject to the provisions contained in the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 147; 43 U. S. C. 279–284) as amended, granting preference rights to veterans of World War II and others.

EDWARD WOOZLEY,
Director
Bureau of Land Management.

OCTOBER 29, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9282; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:46 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

ARKANSAS

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION AND CERTIFICATION OF COUNTIES CONTAINED IN THE DROUGHT AREA

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator of the Federal Civilian Defense Administration (18 F. R. 4609) and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, the following additional counties are determined as of October 21, 1953, to be in the area affected by the major disaster occasioned by drought determined by the President on July 1, 1953, pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress:

ARKANSAS

Clark.
Cleveland.
Dallas.

Done this 29th day of October 1953.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9295; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

KENTUCKY

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION AND CERTIFICATION OF COUNTIES CONTAINED IN THE DROUGHT AREA

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator of the Federal Civilian Defense Administration (18 F R. 4609) and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, the following additional counties are determined as of October 21, 1953, to be in the area affected by the major disaster occasioned by drought determined by the President on September 16, 1953, pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress:

KENTUCKY

Caldwell.	Marion.
Christian.	Metcalfe.
Edmonson.	Nelson.
Hart.	Todd.
Lyon.	Washington.
Marshall.	Webster.

Done this 29th day of October 1953.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9296; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

MISSOURI

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION AND CERTIFICATION OF COUNTIES CONTAINED IN THE DROUGHT AREA

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator of the Federal Civilian Defense Administration (18 F R. 4609) and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, the following additional counties are determined as of October 21, 1953, to be in the area affected by the major disaster occasioned by drought determined by the President on July 15, 1953, pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress:

MISSOURI

Macon.
Mercer.

Done this 29th day of October 1953.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9297; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

NORTH CAROLINA

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION AND CERTIFICATION OF COUNTIES CONTAINED IN THE DROUGHT AREA

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator of the Federal Civilian Defense Administration (18 F R. 4609) and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, the following additional counties are determined as of October 21, 1953, to be in the area affected by the major disaster occasioned by drought determined by the President on September 16, 1953, pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress:

NORTH CAROLINA

Chatham.
Harnett.
Randolph.

Done this 29th day of October 1953.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9298; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

TENNESSEE

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION AND CERTIFICATION OF COUNTIES CONTAINED IN DROUGHT AREA

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator of the Federal Civilian Defense Administration (18 F. R. 4609) and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, the following additional counties are determined as of October 21, 1953, to be in the area affected by the major disaster occasioned by drought determined by the President on September 18, 1953, pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress:

TENNESSEE

Crockett.	Lauderdale.
Decatur.	Madison.
Haywood.	Perry.
Henderson.	Tipton.

Done this 29th day of October 1953.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9299; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

VIRGINIA

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DELINEATION AND CERTIFICATION OF COUNTIES CONTAINED IN THE DROUGHT AREA

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator of the Federal Civilian Defense Administration (18 F. R. 4609) and for the purposes of section 2 (d) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress, as amended by Public Law 115, 83d Congress, the following additional county is determined as of October 21, 1953, to be in the area affected by the major disaster occasioned by drought determined by the President on September 26, 1953, pursuant to Public Law 875, 81st Congress:

VIRGINIA

Montgomery.

Done this 29th day of October 1953.

[SEAL] TRUE D. MORSE,
Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9300; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:49 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

LEARNER EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATES

ISSUANCE TO VARIOUS INDUSTRIES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 1068, as amended; 29 U. S. C. and Sup. 214) and Part 522 of the regulations issued thereunder (29 CFR Part 522), special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates applicable under section 6 of the act have been issued to the firms listed below. The employment of learners under these certificates is limited to the terms and conditions therein contained and is subject to the provisions of Part 522. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners, and learning period for certificates issued under the general learner regulations (§§ 522.1 to 522.14) are as indicated below; conditions provided in certificates issued under special industry regulations are as established in these regulations.

Single Pants, Shirts and Allied Garments, Women's Apparel, Sportswear and Other Odd Outerwear, Rainwear, Robes and Leather and Sheep-Lined Garments Divisions of the Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.160 to 522.168, as amended December 31, 1951, 16 F. R. 12043; and June 2, 1952, 17 F. R. 3818).

Athens Garment Co., Athens, Ala., effective 10-24-53 to 10-23-54; 10 learners (work shirts).

J. H. Bonck Co., Inc., 1100 South Jefferson Davis Parkway, New Orleans, La., effective 10-26-53 to 10-25-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (sport shirts).

Cassie Sportswear Co., 306-8-10 West Catawissa Street, Nesquehoning, Pa., effective 10-21-53 to 10-20-54; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers (not including office and sales personnel) (ladies' blouses).

Chester Manufacturing Co., Inc., 1 Brookside Avenue, Chatham, N. Y., effective 10-23-53 to 10-22-54; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' and children's street and house dresses).

Duncannon Dress Co., 711 High Street, Duncannon, Pa., effective 10-26-53 to 10-25-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (ladies' cotton house dresses).

Eureka Pants Co., Shelbyville, Tenn., effective 10-27-53 to 10-26-54; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers (not including office and sales personnel) (cotton work pants).

Fly Manufacturing Co., Shelbyville, Tenn., effective 10-27-53 to 10-26-54; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers (not including office and sales personnel) (cotton work pants, overalls, and jackets).

Forest City Manufacturing Co., 1641 Washington Avenue, St. Louis, Mo., effective 10-22-53 to 10-21-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (junior and misses' dresses).

Gross Galesburg Co., Lewistown, Ill., effective 11-1-53 to 4-30-54; 40 learners for expansion purposes (men's and boys' waistband overalls).

Juvenile Manufacturing Co., Inc., 327 North Flores Street, San Antonio, Tex., effective 10-24-53 to 10-23-54; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers (excluding those engaged in the production of coat suits and topcoats) (slacks, shorts, boxerettes, boxer shorts, jackets, and shirts, etc.).

McMinnville Garment Co., McMinnville, Tenn., effective 11-9-53 to 11-8-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton trousers).

Charles Meyers & Co., First and Harrison Streets, Belleville, Ill., effective 11-2-53 to 11-1-54; 10 percent of the factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (men's trousers).

Milan Shirt Manufacturing Co., 134 Williamson Street, Milan, Tenn., effective 11-4-53 to 11-3-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton work shirts).

Nardis Sportswear, Inc., 400 South Poydras Street, Dallas, Tex., effective 10-23-53 to 10-22-54; 10 percent of the number of factory production workers (excluding workers engaged in the production of skirts, jackets, and toppers, lined and unlined suits) (blouses and vests).

Palm Beach Co., Talladega, Ala., effective 10-27-53 to 10-26-54; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers (not including office and sales personnel) (summer wash pants).

Pettibelle, Inc., Sumter, S. C., effective 10-23-53 to 4-22-54; 75 learners for expansion purposes (children's cotton dresses).

Princess Peggy, Inc., 1001 South Adams Street, Peoria, Ill., effective 10-27-53 to 10-26-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (women's cotton house dresses).

Salant & Salant Inc., First Street, Lexington, Tenn., effective 11-9-53 to 11-8-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton work shirts).

Salant & Salant Inc., Pine Street, Lexington, Tenn., effective 11-6-53 to 11-5-54; 10 percent of the factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton work shirts).

Salant & Salant Inc., Troy, Tenn., effective 11-7-53 to 11-6-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton work shirts).

Salant & Salant Inc., Obion, Tenn., effective 11-9-53 to 11-8-54; 10 percent of the factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (cotton work shirts).

Shamokin Dress Co., 1012 North Shamokin Street, Shamokin, Pa., effective 10-26-53 to 10-25-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (women's and girls' dresses).

Shane Uniform Co., Inc., 2015 West Maryland Street, Evansville, Ind., effective 10-21-53 to 10-20-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (washable service apparel).

United Garment Manufacturing Co., 316 West Lake Street, Chisholm, Minn., effective 10-27-53 to 4-26-54; 15 learners for expansion purposes (men's and boys' parkers, boys' and girls' surcoats, girls' jackets).

Washington Overall Manufacturing Co., Scottsville, Ky., effective 10-26-53 to 10-25-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (work pants).

Wide Awake Shirt Co., Inc., Reading, Pa., effective 10-27-53 to 10-26-54; 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers (not including office and sales personnel) (men's shirts).

J. M. Wood Manufacturing Co., Inc., 226 South Sixth Street, Waco, Tex., effective 10-27-53 to 10-26-54; 10 percent of the factory production force for normal labor turnover purposes (dungarees, men's work pants, and men's one piece suits).

Independent Telephone Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.82 to 522.93, as amended January 25, 1950, 15 F. R. 398).

The Cass County Telephone Co., Harrisonville, Mo., effective 11-10-53 to 11-9-54.

West Iowa Telephone Co., Remsen, Iowa, effective 11-17-53 to 11-16-54.

Each certificate has been issued upon the employer's representation that employment of learners at subminimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employ-

ment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. The certificates may be cancelled in the manner provided in the regulations and as indicated in the certificates. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of Part 522.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 26th day of October 1953.

MILTON BROOKE,
Authorized Representative of the Administrator

[F. R. Doc. 53-9284; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

SHIP STATION LICENSES AUTHORIZED TO USE CERTAIN TELEGRAPH FREQUENCIES

ORDER SPECIFYING DURATION OF LICENSE MODIFICATIONS

In the matter of modification of licenses of ship station licensees presently authorized to use telegraph frequencies below 535 kc to include authority to use the working frequency 448 kc (Region 2 only)

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration its order adopted October 27, 1952, modifying the licenses of ship station licensees authorized to use telegraph frequencies below 535 kc so as to include in all such licenses authority to operate on the working frequency 448 kc (Region 2 only) for a period until November 1, 1953 or until the date of expiration of license, whichever occurred earlier;

It appearing, that the emergency described in the above-referred-to order which furnished the basis for the issuance of the order under the provisions of section 308 (a) (3) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, has been prolonged beyond its anticipated duration by reason of printing delays making unavailable in reasonable time appropriate application forms which could be used by licensees to apply for a regular authorization;

It is ordered, That, effective November 1, 1953, the Commission's order of October 27, 1952, referred to above, is modified by specifying the duration of the license modifications therein ordered to be until May 1, 1954, or until the date of expiration of the current license of each ship station involved, whichever occurs earlier.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this order be posted by all licensees affected along with their regular licenses.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9312; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. 8737]

CHERRY & WEBB BROADCASTING CO.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATIONS FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Cherry & Webb Broadcasting Company, Providence, Rhode Island, Docket No. 8737, File No. BPCT-223; for construction permit for new television broadcast station, for special temporary authorization.

1. On September 3, 1953, the Commission granted the above-entitled application for a permit to construct a new television broadcast station on Channel 12 at Providence, Rhode Island. On September 23, the Commission granted the above-entitled application for special temporary authority to operate commercially on an interim basis. On October 2, 1953, Channel 16 of Rhode Island, Inc. filed its "Petition Protesting Grants, Requesting Hearing, And For Other Relief" pursuant to section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, directed against the Commission's actions of September 3 and 23, 1953, granting, respectively, the above-entitled applications. On October 16, 1953, the Commission, by Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 53-1367) found that the protestant was a party in interest and that it had specified with particularity the facts, matters and things relied upon, as required by section 309 (c) of the Communications Act. Accordingly, on the latter date, the Commission postponed the effective dates of the above grants and designated the above-entitled applications for hearing "at a time and place and upon appropriate issues to be designated by further order of the Commission"

2. We are including issues "(1)" to "(4)" inclusive, in the terms specified by protestant. Issues "(5)" "(6)" and "(7)" proposed by protestant read as follows:

(5) To obtain full information concerning the procedures pursuant to which the amended application of Cherry and Webb Broadcasting Company for construction permit (BPCT-223) was granted, with particular reference to facts showing the Commission's practice relating to issuance of notices of broadcast applications, the nature of any notices of the filing of such amended application or of the dismissal of the competing applications of Hope Broadcasting Company (BPCT-1661) and Greater Providence Broadcasting Company (BPCT-1689), the time and manner in which said amended application and dismissals were filed, the general nature of the steps constituting "processing and review" of said application in the light of the provisions of Footnote 10, §§ 1.371 and 1.372 of the Commission's rules.

(6) To obtain full information concerning the procedures pursuant to which the letter request of Cherry and Webb Broadcasting Company for so-called Special Temporary Authorization for Interim Operation was granted, including the circumstances surrounding the filing and granting thereof, the nature of any public notices pertaining to such filing and granting, and the steps and actions taken by the grantee of said STA pursuant to said grant.

(7) To determine in the light of the facts adduced under the foregoing issues whether a grant of the application would be consistent with the provisions of law, with particular reference to sections 3 (bb and dd), 4 (j), 308, 309, 310, and 319, of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the policies enunciated, and practices established by the Commission pursuant thereto, and the rules and regulations of the Commission, particularly Footnote (10) of §§ 1.371 and 1.372, 3.35 and 3.636; and whether the procedures followed meet the requirements of section 3 (a) of the Administrative Procedure Act and due process of law.

It is apparent that issues "(5)" and "(6)" as drafted by the protestant, include an inquiry into certain matters, such as the filing dates of certain pleadings, the public notices relating to the above-entitled applications, the rules governing the Commission's processing procedures and the rules governing its administrative functioning, which are clearly appropriate matters of inquiry. As a matter of fact, there is no dispute concerning these matters, and official notice could be taken of the facts with respect to such matters. However, in order that appropriate factual data on which the legality of the Commission's actions may be determined shall be made part of the hearing record, we are including an issue relating thereto. It would appear, however, that although no factual allegations of specific misconduct are made in the protest, issues "(5)" and "(6)" as drafted by protestant are also broad enough to cover inquiries into such matters as day-to-day and hour-to-hour functioning of the Commission and its staff, the mental processes of the Commission, and the time spent by the Commission and its staff in each step of its processing procedure. The Commission is of the opinion that examination into such matters is clearly not appropriate in any hearing on the protest, and we have, accordingly, redrafted the substance of issues "(5)" and "(6)" in the light of these views to limit the scope of the hearing thereon to what we believe is appropriate.[1] The burden of proof with respect to this redrafted issue, No. 5, will, as in the case of all other hearing issues, be on the protestant.

3. Inasmuch as proposed issue "(7)" is not designed to elicit facts but rather seeks a final determination based on the findings and conclusions resulting from the prior issues, it is not to be referred to an Examiner but will be the subject of oral argument before the Commission. The burden of proof as to said issue will be on the protestant.

4. Accordingly, *It is ordered,* That pursuant to section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-entitled applications are designated for hearing at the offices of the

[1] While section 309 (c) gives a protestant latitude in drafting hearing issues, it is obvious that a protest proceeding may not be converted into a fishing expedition into the mental processes of the Commission. See United States v. Morgan, 313 U. S. 409, 421-422. Were we to permit the inclusion of issues "(5)" and "(6)" as specified by protestant, we would in any event be forced to direct the Examiner to respect the privileged character of the matter as to which inquiry is made. We believe it more orderly to limit the issues themselves.

Commission in Washington, D. C. on the following issues:

(1) To obtain full information concerning the agreement, dated September 1, 1953, submitted as part of the amended application of Cherry and Webb Broadcasting Company, including:

(a) The circumstances under which the agreement was entered into;

(b) The nature, substance, and terms of any written or oral understandings or arrangements between or among the parties, between or among any other individuals, and between or among any other individuals and said parties, pertaining to the effectuation of said agreement or to the receipt or payment of any of the considerations or benefits provided for in said agreement;

(c) The nature and substance of the plans and intentions of the parties with respect to the effectuation of said agreement, including the specific actions contemplated to be taken in such effectuation;

(d) The nature of the specific benefits, detriments, services, or other considerations provided for in said agreement with specific reference to option rights, monetary considerations, and other benefits to Messrs. Engles and Taylor and other persons who are connected with Greater Providence Broadcasting Company, either as officers, stockholders, directors, or employees, or through contractual arrangements having to do with such considerations.

(2) To obtain full information concerning the identity, affiliations, connections, and other matters upon which information is required by FCC Form 301 (Application for Authority to Construct a New Broadcast Station) with respect to any and all stockholders or proposed stockholders, officers, directors, and operating personnel in the proposed new corporation provided for in, or contemplated by, said agreement, as well as any and all proposed stockholders, officers, directors and employees of any existing corporate or proposed corporate stockholder of said proposed new corporation.

(3) To obtain full information concerning the connections with any existing or proposed broadcast station in Providence, Rhode Island, or elsewhere in the United States of any individuals connected or to be connected by stock ownership, official position, or employment with the licensee of the proposed television station on Channel 12 in Providence.

(4) To obtain full information concerning the nature and amount of costs of all construction of a proposed television station or part thereof, undertaken by Cherry and Webb Broadcasting Company prior to the issuance of any instrument by the Commission authorizing commencement of such construction.

(5) To determine, with respect to the above-entitled applications, the dates of filing thereof, the dates and nature of Commission actions thereon, and the dates and content of public notices issued by the Commission with respect thereto.

(6) To determine in the light of the facts adduced under the foregoing issues whether a grant of the application would be consistent with the provisions of law, with particular reference to sections 3 (bb and dd) 4 (j), 308, 309, 310, and 319, of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the policies enunciated, and practices established by the Commission pursuant thereto, and the rules and regulations of the Commission, particularly Footnote (10) of §§ 1.371, 1.372, 3.35, and 3.636; and whether the procedures followed meet the requirements of section 3 (a) of the Administrative Procedure Act and due process of law.

The burden of proof as to each of the above issues shall be on the protestant.

5. *It is further ordered,* That the protestant and the Chief of the Broadcast Bureau are hereby made parties to the proceedings herein and that:

(1) The hearings on issues "(1)" to "(5)", inclusive, shall commence at 10:00 a. m. on November 18, 1953, and shall be held before an Examiner to be specified by the Commission who shall issue an initial decision setting forth findings of fact based on the record before him with respect to said issues and upon the issuance of such findings shall certify the record of the proceedings to the Commission; and

(2) The parties to the proceedings shall have 15 days after issuance of the Examiner's findings to file exceptions thereto and 7 days thereafter to file replies to any such exceptions; and

(3) At a time to be designated by further order, the Comission en banc will hear oral argument on any exceptions filed to the Examiner's findings and, in addition, will hear argument on issue "(6)"

(4) Subsequent to such oral hearing, the Commission shall issue a decision in these proceedings, which decision shall constitute the final decision of the Commission in this matter.

(5) The appearances by the parties intending to participate in the above hearings shall be filed not later than November 10, 1953.

Adopted: October 28, 1953.

Released: October 28, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9313; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:53 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10289, 10290]

HEAD OF THE LAKES BROADCASTING CO. AND RED RIVER BROADCASTING CO., INC.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of Head of the Lakes Broadcasting Co., Superior, Wisconsin, Docket No. 10289, File No. BPCT-621; Red River Broadcasting Co., Inc., Duluth, Minnesota, Docket No. 10290, File No. BPCT-903; for construction permits for new television stations.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D .C., on the 29th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration a petition filed October 23, 1953, by the Head of the Lakes Broadcasting Company requesting review and reversal of an order of the Examiner herein denying the petitioner's request for a 30-day postponement of the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding; and an Opposition to the subject petition, filed October 27, 1953, by the Red River Broadcasting Company, Inc., and

It appearing, That the petitioner contends that the hearing should be continued until (a) the conclusion of a pending rule-making proceeding looking toward the assignment of Channel 10 to Duluth, Minnesota, because "the finalization of this action will make it possible for the petitioner to apply for Channel 10, thereby eliminating the need for any hearing on Channel 3 " and (b) the disposition of a "Petition to Restrict Hearing to Limited Issues" filed by Head of the Lakes; and

It further appearing, That the Red River Broadcasting Company, Inc., asks that the relief requested be denied principally because further postponement might cause injury to certain private interests of this applicant; and

It further appearing, That a grant of the relief requested may render the instant hearing unnecessary and may therefore make it possible to afford additional television services to the Duluth-Superior area at an earlier date than might otherwise be anticipated;

It is ordered, That the above-described petition of Head of the Lakes Broadcasting Co. is granted; and

It is further ordered, That the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding, now scheduled to reconvene on October 30, 1953, is continued until November 30, 1953.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9314; Filed, Oct. 30, 1953; 5:02 p. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10559, 10560]

GULF COAST BROADCASTING CO. AND BAPTIST GENERAL CONVENTION OF TEXAS

ORDER RE AMENDMENT OF ISSUES

In re applications of Gulf Broadcasting Company, Corpus Christi, Texas, Docket No. 10559, file No. BPCT-723; Baptist General Convention of Texas, Corpus Christi, Texas, Docket No. 10560, File No. BPCT-906; for construction permits for new television broadcast stations.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 29th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration (1) a petition filed July 22, 1953, by Baptist General Convention of Texas to enlarge the issues in the hearing on the above-entitled applications to permit a showing of comparative signal coverage and to permit inquiry into the availability of finances to Gulf Coast Broadcasting Company and the

adequacy of available funds to effectuate the proposals set forth in the Gulf Coast aplications; (2) an answer by Gulf Coast Broadcasting Company filed August 7, 1953; (3)) Baptist's supplement to its petition to enlarge the issues, filed September 15, 1953; and (4) Gulf Coast's answer to the supplement of Baptist, filed September 24, 1953; and

It appearing, that Gulf Coast was on September 1, 1953, granted leave to amend its application to show additional loan commitments from local Corpus Christi banks and a substantial prepayment from a prospective television advertiser; and

It further appearing, that in its original Order of Designation in this proceeding the Commission was satisfied that Gulf Coast was financially qualified and made specific findings to that effect; and that by its amendment of September 1, 1953, Gulf Coast has met the questions raised by Baptist with respect to the availability of finances; and

It further appearing, that the question of whether or not the available funds of Gulf Coast will be sufficient to effectuate its proposals, and hence whether or not the issues should be broadened to permit the receipt of evidence on this question, is a matter best left to the discretion of the Examiner after his receipt of allegations sufficiently particularized and material so that, if proved, they would establish significant differences between the competing proposals, all as set out in our Memorandum Opinion and Order in re South Central Broadcasting Corporation, et al., released October 7, 1953, Docket Nos. 10461–10464; and

It further appearing, that, as established by our Memorandum Opinion and Order in re Louis Wasmer, released July 30, 1953, FCC 53–979, no petition will be granted which seeks to enlarge the issues to include the issue of comparative superiority of signal coverage unless the petitioner has made a prior showing that the data proposed to be introduced under such issue would be sufficiently accurate and reliable to support a finding of significant differences and that no such showing has been made in the instant case;

It is ordered, This 29th day of October 1953, that the petition of Baptist General Convention of Texas, filed July 22, 1953, to enlarge the issues in this proceeding, is denied insofar as it requests enlargement of the issues to permit inquiry into the availability of finances to Gulf Coast Broadcasting Company and to permit a showing of comparative signal coverage; and

It is further ordered, That the issues specified in this proceeding may be enlarged by the Examiner, upon sufficient allegations of fact made in support of said enlargement, by the addition of the following issue:

To determine whether the funds available to the applicant will give reasonable assurance that the proposals set forth in its application will be effectuated.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9315; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:53 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10736]

HATTIESBURG TELEVISION CO.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATION FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Charles J. Wright, Sr., Dave A. Mattison, Jr., Charles J. Wright, Jr., and Charles W Holt, d/b as Hattiesburg Television Company, Hattiesburg, Mississippi, Docket No. 10736, File No. BPCT–1545; for a construction permit for a new television station.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration the above-entitled application requesting a construction permit for a new television broadcast station to operate on Channel 9 in Hattiesburg, Mississippi; and

It appearing, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-named applicant was advised by a letter dated June 26, 1953, that the Commission was unable to determine that a grant of the above-entitled application would serve the public interest, convenience or necessity in the light of § 3.35 of the Commission's rules and the Commission's general policy with respect to complete divorcement of management, ownership and other interests between stations of the same class in the same community or serving substantially the same area, and that a hearing appeared to be necessary; and

It further appearing, That upon due consideration of the above-entitled application (no reply resolving the questions raised in the above letter nor any amendments to the above-entitled application having been filed) the Commission finds that the above-named applicant is legally, technically and financially qualified to construct, own and operate a television broadcast station, but that the application, considered in the light of § 3.35 of our rules and our established policy raises a serious question as to whether a grant thereof would result in a lessening of competition between Stations WFOR and WHSY;

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-entitled application is designated for hearing to commence at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues.

1. To determine, in light of the provisions of § 3.35 of the Commission's rules and the Commission's policy with respect to complete divorcement of management, ownership and other interests between stations of the same class in the same community or serving substantially the same area, what special circumstances, if any, exist which would justify a grant of the above-entitled application.

2. To determine what steps, if any, would be taken, in the event of a grant of the above-entitled application, to minimize the possibility of diminution of competition between standard broadcast Stations WFOR and WHSY.

3. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under the above issues, whether grant of the above-entitled application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Released: October 29, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary,

[F. R. Doc. 53-9316; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:53 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10737, 10738]

PETERSBURG TELEVISION CORP. AND SOUTHSIDE VIRGINIA TELECASTING CORP.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATIONS FOR CONSOLIDATED HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re applications of Petersburg Television Corporation, Petersburg, Virginia, Docket No. 10737, File No. BPCT–1772; Southside Virginia Telecasting Corporation, Petersburg, Virginia, Docket No. 10738, File No. BPCT–1773; for construction permits for new television stations.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration the above-entitled applications, each requesting a construction permit for a new television broadcast station to operate on Channel 8 in Petersburg, Virginia; and

It appearing, That the above-entitled applications are mutually exclusive in that operation by more than one applicant would result in mutually destructive interference; and

It further appearing, that pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-named applicants were advised by letters dated October 12, 1953, that their applications were mutually exclusive; that Petersburg Television Corporation was advised by the said letter that certain questions were raised as the result of deficiencies of a technical nature in its application; and that Southside Virginia Telecasting Corporation was advised by the said letter that the question of whether its proposed antenna system and site would constitute a hazard to air navigation was unresolved; and

It further appearing, that upon due consideration of the above-entitled applications, the amendments filed thereto, and the replies to the above letters, the

Commission finds that under section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, a hearing is mandatory and that each of the above-named applicants is legally, financially, and technically qualified to construct, own and operate a television broadcast station;

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-entitled applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding to commence at 10:00 a. m. on November 27, 1953, in Washington, D. C., to determine on a comparative basis which of the operations proposed in the above-entitled applications would better serve the public interest, convenience or necessity in the light of the record made with respect to the significant differences between the applications as to:

(1) The background and experience of each of the above-named applicants having a bearing on its ability to own and operate the proposed television station.

(2) The proposals of each of the above-named applicants with respect to the management and operation of the proposed station.

(3) The programming service proposed in each of the above-entitled applications.

It is further ordered, That the issues in the above-entitled proceeding may be enlarged by the Examiner, on his own motion or on petition properly filed by a party to the proceeding and upon sufficient allegations of fact in support thereof, by the addition of the following issue:

To determine whether the funds available to the applicant will give reasonable assurance that the proposals set forth in the application will be effectuated.

Released: October 29, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9317; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:53 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10739]

CARBON EMERY BROADCASTING CO.

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATION FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re application of George G. Platis and Robert E. Hawley d/b as Carbon Emery Broadcasting Company Price, Utah, Docket No. 10739, File No. BP-8797, for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration a protest filed on October 15, 1953, pursuant to section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, by Uintah Broadcasting and Television Company, licensee of Station KJAM, Vernal, Utah (1340 kc, 250 w, U) requesting the Commission to reconsider its action of September 16, 1953, granting the above-entitled application of Carbon Emery Broadcasting Company for a construction permit for a new standard broadcast station to operate on 1340 kc, 250 w, unlimited time, at Price, Utah, and to designate the application for hearing;

It appearing, That the engineering affidavit, together with supporting field intensity measurements attached to the KJAM petition, indicates that the 0.025 mv/m contour of the station proposed in the above-entitled application will overlap with the 0.5 mv/m contour of Station KJAM, that the Commission's further study of the matter, including an analysis of the field intensity measurements submitted by the petitioner, indicates that the proposed station would cause some interference within the KJAM normally protected 0.5 mv/m contour as defined by the Standards of Good Engineering Practice Concerning Standard Broadcast stations; and

It further appearing, That the Commission is of the opinion that the aforesaid protest meets the requirements of section 309 (c) and that a hearing must be held on the above-entitled application upon the matters put in issue by said protest;

It is ordered, That the above-described petition of Uintah Broadcasting and Television Company is granted; and

It is further ordered, That pursuant to section 309 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-entitled application of Carbon Emery Broadcasting Company for construction permit for a new standard broadcast station at Price, Utah, is designated for hearing at a time and place to be designated in a subsequent order upon the following issues:

1. To determine the nature and extent of the interference that may be caused to Station KJAM by the proposed operation of the Price, Utah station on 1340 kilocycles with the power of 250 watts and unlimited hours of operation, the areas and populations affected thereby, the availability of other primary service to such areas and population, and the nature and character of the program service now being rendered by Station KJAM to such areas and populations.

2. To determine the type and character of program service proposed to be rendered by the Price, Utah, station and whether it would meet the requirements of the populations and areas proposed to be served.

3. To determine whether, based on the findings made pursuant to the issues above, the public interest convenience or necessity would be served by the grant of the above-entitled application.

It is further ordered, That the above issues are adopted by the Commission; therefore, the burden of proceeding with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof with respect to those issues is placed upon Carbon Emery Broadcasting Company.

It is further ordered, That Uintah Broadcasting and Television Company, licensee of Station KJAM, Vernal, Utah, and the Chief, Broadcast Bureau, Federal Communications Commission are made parties to the proceeding.

It is further ordered, That effective immediately and pending the final determination of the above hearing, the effectiveness of the Commission's action of September 16, 1953, granting the above-entitled Carbon Emery Broadcasting application is postponed.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9318; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:53 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10740]

ROBERT V. H. SUGDEN

ORDER DESIGNATING MATTER FOR HEARING

In the matter of revocation of license of Special Industrial Station KOG-285; Robert V. H. Sugden, Route 1, Box 629, Yuma, Arizona.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration alleged violations of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules of the Commission committed by the licensee of the above-described station during the course of the operation thereof;

It appearing, That on August 26, 1953, Robert V. H. Sugden was authorized to operate under the call sign KOG-285 a station in the Special Industrial Radio Service governed by Part 11 of the Commission's rules; and

It further appearing, That on August 23, 1953, Robert V. H. Sugden wilfully transmitted or caused to be transmitted communications and signals by radio in violation of section 301 of the Communications Act; and

It further appearing, That during the period from September 4 to September 18, 1953, Station KOG-285 was wilfully operated in a manner contrary to the public interest, the terms of the license, the law and the Commission's regulations in the following particulars:

(a) Unauthorized communications in violation of § 11.151 of the rules of the Commission were repeatedly transmitted by the licensee over the facilities of his station;

(b) The station was operated on several occasions by a person who was not a holder of an appropriate operator authorization issued by the Commission in violation of section 318 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 11.154 (e) (1) of the Commission's rules;

(c) No frequency, power, and modulation measurements were made as required by § 11.108 of the Commission's rules;

(d) No station records were maintained as required by § 11.160 of the Commission's rules;

(e) The Commission's Engineer in Charge of the district in which Station KOG-285 is located was not notified prior to the commencement of the sta-

tion's operation as required by § 11.52 (c) of the Commission's rules.

It further appearing, that Station KOG-285 was repeatedly used by the licensee thereof in connection with and in furtherance of illegal activities violative of the laws of the United States and was used to thwart the efforts of the officers of the Immigration and Naturalization Service to enforce the Immigration and Nationality Act:

It is ordered, Pursuant to § 312 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that Robert V H. Sugden show cause why the license of his Special Industrial Station KOG-285 should not be revoked; and

It is further ordered, That a hearing in this matter will be held in Washington, D. C., on the 1st day of December 1953, in order to determine whether an Order revoking said license should be issued and that Robert V H. Sugden is herewith called upon to appear at this hearing and give evidence upon the matters specified herein;* and

It is further ordered, That the Secretary shall mail a copy of this Order to the licensee by Registered Mail—Return Receipt Requested.

Released: October 29, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9319; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:54 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10741]

MID-ATLANTIC BROADCASTING CO.

ORDER DESIGNATING MATTER FOR HEARING

In the matter of Cease and Desist Order to be directed against Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company, Atlantic City, New Jersey.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of October 1953;

The Commission having under consideration, the issuance of an order directed to Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company, licensee of Radio Station WMID, Atlantic City, New Jersey, to cease and desist from violating § 3.46 (c) of the Commission's rules and regulations, by permitting Radio Station WMID to be operated in such a manner as to cause objectionable second harmonic interference (2680 kc) with frequency 2670 kc which is used by the U. S. Coast Guard at Atlantic City, New Jersey, to receive distress signals from small boats at sea;

It appearing, that Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company has been notified by the Commission, from time to time, on February 9, 1949, March 2, 1949, May 15, 1953, July 10, 1953, and September 10, 1953, that their second harmonic emission on 2680 kc was causing objectionable interference with emergency frequency 2670 kc, used by the U. S. Coast Guard;

It further appearing, that, even though Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company had a new trap installed in August 1953 to eliminate the objectionable second harmonic interference with frequency 2670 kc, the objectionable interference still exists, specifically at 1:30 p. m., e. d. s. t., on September 10, 1953;

It further appearing, that frequency 2670 kc, as allocated, is used for emergency operations by the local U. S. Coast Guard Radio Station;

It further appearing, that Commission's § 3.46 (c) requires that the station equipment shall be so operated, tuned, and adjusted that emissions are not radiated outside the authorized band which cause or are capable of causing interference to the communications of other stations;

It further appearing, that Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company allowed, and continues to allow, radio station WMID to operate, knowing that such operation causes objectionable second harmonic interference with the frequency 2670 kc.

It is ordered, Pursuant to the provisions of section 312 (c) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, that Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company be and is hereby directed to show cause why an order commanding it to cease and desist from violating § 3.46 (c) of the Commission's rules and regulations, by permitting Station WMID to be operated in such a manner as to cause objectionable second harmonic interference to frequency 2670 kc.

It is further ordered, That a hearing in this matter will be held in Washington, D. C., on November 16, 1953, in order to determine whether said cease and desist order should be issued, and that Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company is hereby called upon to appear at this hearing and give evidence upon the matter specified herein; and

It is further ordered, That said Mid-Atlantic Broadcasting Company is directed on or before November 9, 1953, to inform the Commission in writing whether it will appear at the hearing specified above, or whether it waives its right to a hearing, in which event the above cease and desist order will be forthwith issued. Failure to respond by November 9, 1953, or failure to appear at the hearing will be deemed to be a waiver of the right to a hearing.

Released: October 30, 1953.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] WM. P. MASSING,
Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9320; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:54 a. m.]

*Section 1.402 of the Commission's rules provides that in order to avail himself of the opportunity to appear before the Commission at the time and place specified in the Show Cause Order, the licensee shall within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of this order inform the Commission in writing whether he will appear or whether he waives his rights to a hearing. Waiver of a hearing may be accompanied by a statement of reasons why said licensee believes that an Order of Revocation should not be issued. A waiver unaccompanied by such a statement will be deemed to be an admission of the allegations specified in this order. Failure to respond within the above 30-day period, or failure to appear at the hearing, will be deemed to be a waiver of the right to a hearing and an admission of the allegations specified in the Order to Show Cause.

UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 29]

SAFETY PINS

NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION INSTITUTED AND PUBLIC HEARING ORDERED

Investigation instituted. Upon application of the DeLong Hook and Eye Company, Philadelphia, Pa., and others, received September 28, 1953, the United States Tariff Commission, on the 29th day of October 1953, under the authority of section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended, and section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930, instituted an investigation to determine whether safety pins provided for in paragraph 350 of the Tariff Act of 1930 are, as a result in whole or in part of the duty or other customs treatment reflecting concessions granted thereon under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, either actual or relative, as to cause or threaten serious injury to the domestic industry producing like or directly competitive products.

Hearing ordered. A public hearing in this investigation was ordered by the Tariff Commission to begin at 10 a. m. on January 12, 1954, in the Hearing Room of the Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets, NW., Washington, D. C., at which hearing all interested parties will be given opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard.

Requests to appear at hearing. Interested parties desiring to appear and give testimony at the hearing should notify the Secretary of the Commission, in writing, in advance of the hearing.

Inspection of application. The application filed in this case is available for public inspection at the office of the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C., and in the New York office of the Tariff Commission, located in Room 437 of the Custom House, where it may be read and copied by persons interested.

I certify that the above investigation was instituted and the above hearing was ordered by the Tariff Commission on the 29th day of October 1953.

Issued: October 30, 1953.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9306; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

[Investigation No. 26]

WATCH MOVEMENTS AND PARTS

NOTICE OF POSTPONEMENT OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Tariff Commission ordered that the public hearing in the investigation instituted under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended, with respect to watch movements and parts, heretofore scheduled for January 12, 1954 (18 F R. 5524), be postponed to 10 a. m., February 9, 1954.

The hearing will be held in the Hearing Room, Tariff Commission Building, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C.

Request to appear Parties desiring to appear, to produce evidence, and to be heard at the public hearing should file request in writing with the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in advance of the date of the hearing.

I certify that the above action was taken by the Tariff Commission on the 29th day of October 1953.

Issued: October 30, 1953.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9307; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

SPECIFIED HOUSEHOLD CHINA TABLEWARE, KITCHENWARE, AND TABLE AND KITCHEN UTENSILS

NOTICE OF HEARING ORDERED

The United States Tariff Commission, on the 29th day of October 1953, ordered a public hearing to be held in the Hearing Room of the Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C., to begin at 10 a. m. on December 15, 1953, in connection with Investigation No. 118 under section 336 of the Tariff Act of 1930, which was instituted on May 15, 1952. The investigation and hearing relate to specified Household China Tableware, Kitchenware, and Table and Kitchen Utensils, provided for in paragraph 212 of the Tariff Act of 1930, and described in the Commission's public notice of investigation (17 F. R. 4628; weekly Treasury Decisions, issue of May 22, 1952)

Request for appearance at hearing. All parties interested will be given opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard at the said hearing. Requests for appearance at the hearing should be made, in writing, and addressed to the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, Washington 25, D. C.

I certify that the above hearing was ordered by the Tariff Commission on the 29th day of October 1953.

Issued: October 30, 1953.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9308; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

[Investigation No. 28]

STRAIGHT (DRESSMAKERS' OR COMMON) PINS

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The United States Tariff Commission announces a public hearing to begin at 10 a. m. on January 13, 1954, in the Hearing Room of the Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C., in connection with Investigation No. 28 under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended, instituted on September 24, 1953, with respect to Straight (Dressmakers' or Common) Pins provided for in paragraph 350 of the Tariff Act of 1930. Public notice of the investigation has previously been given (18 F. R. 6253)

Parties interested will be given opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard at the above hearing.

Request to appear at hearing. Interested parties desiring to appear and give testimony at the above hearing should notify the Secretary of the Commission, in writing, in advance of the hearing.

I certify that the above hearing was ordered by the Tariff Commission on the 29th day of October 1953.

Issued: October 30, 1953.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9309; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:52 a. m.]

[Investigation No. 30]

FLUORSPAR, ACID GRADE

NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION INSTITUTED

Upon application of the Ozark-Mahoning Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and others, received October 20, 1953, the United States Tariff Commission, on the 29th day of October 1953, under the authority of section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended, and section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930, instituted an investigation to determine whether fluorspar, containing more than 97 percentum of calcium fluoride, provided for in paragraph 207 of the Tariff Act of 1930 is, as a result in whole or in part of the duty or other customs treatment reflecting the concession granted thereon under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, either actual or relative, as to cause or threaten serious injury to the domestic industry producing like or directly competitive products.

Inspection of application. The application filed in this case is available for public inspection at the office of the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C., and in the New York office of the Tariff Commission, located in Room 437 of the Custom House, where it may be read and copied by persons interested.

I certify that the above investigation was instituted by the Tariff Commission on the 29th day of October 1953.

Issued: October 30, 1953.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9310; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:52 a. m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

DOMESTIC PURCHASE REGULATIONS

QUARTERLY REPORT OF PURCHASES AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 1953

Pursuant to section 4, Public Law 206, 83d Congress, the tabulation below details the quarterly and cumulative purchases under the purchase regulation noted.

Regulation	Termination date	Quantity			
		Units	Program limitation	Purchases during quarter	Cumulative purchases through end of quarter
Asbestos (32A CFR Reg. 9)	Oct. 1, 1957	Short tons, crude No 1 and/or crude No. 2, asbestos.	1,000	35	95
		Short tons, crude No. 3		21	103
Beryl (32A CFR Reg. 8)	June 30, 1957	Short dry tons, beryl ore	1,500	68	128
Chrome (41 CFR Part 99)	do	Long dry tons, chrome ore and/or chrome concentrates.	200,000	9,280	37,400
Columbium-Tantalum [1] (32A CFR Reg. 10).	Dec. 31, 1953	Pounds, contained combined pentoxide.	15,000,000	701,535	1,923,027
Manganese (32A CFR Regs. 3, 4, 5, 6):					
Butte-Phillipsburg	June 30, 1953	Long dry ton units, manganese.	6,000,000	71,203	332,199
Deming	do	do	6,000,000	117,840	693,435
Wenden	do	do	6,000,000	644,453	1,424,643
Domestic small producers	do	do	10,000,000	145,159	269,069
Mica (32A CFR Reg. 7)	June 30, 1957	Short tons, hand-cobbed mica or equivalent.	25,000	542	2,192
Tungsten (32A CFR Reg. 2)	July 1, 1953	Short ton units, tungsten trioxide.	3,000,000	122,153	391,465

[1] Columbium-Tantalum Regulation provides for both domestic and foreign purchases, report includes both.

EDMUND F. MANSURE, *Administrator.*

OCTOBER 29, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9328; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:55 a. m.]

[Wildlife Order 22]

VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION HOSPITAL, OUTWOOD, KENTUCKY

TRANSFER OF PORTION OF PROPERTY TO STATE FOR WILDLIFE CONSERVATION PURPOSES

Pursuant to the authority granted under Public Law 537, approved May 19, 1948, Eightieth Congress, notice is hereby given that:

1. By deed from the United States of America, dated August 17, 1953, to the State of Kentucky, a portion of that property known as the Veterans' Administration Hospital, Outwood, Kentucky, and more particularly described in said

deed, has been transferred from the United States to the State of Kentucky.

2. The above described property is transferred to the State of Kentucky for wildlife conservation purposes (other than migratory birds) in accordance with the provisions of said Public Law 537.

EDMUND F. MANSURE,
Administrator of General Services.

OCTOBER 28, 1953.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9285; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY WITH REGARD TO DISPOSAL OF FENCE PROPERTY ON TUCUMCARI PROJECT, SAN MIGUEL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

1. Pursuant to authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, I hereby authorize the Secretary of the Interior to dispose, by negotiated sale or otherwise, of two narrow strips of land, totaling 262.42 acres, extending some thirty miles on either side of an irrigation canal on the Tucumcari Project, San Miguel County, New Mexico, together with the fences thereon and related fencing supplies on hand.

2. An explanatory statement shall be prepared and submitted to the appropriate committees of Congress, as required by said act, at least thirty days before consummation of any negotiated disposal of the property. A copy of such statement shall be furnished this Administration.

3. The Secretary of the Interior may redelegate this authority to any officer or employee of the Department of the Interior.

4. This delegation of authority is effective immediately.

Dated: October 28, 1953.

EDMUND F MANSURE,
Administrator

[F. R. Doc. 53-9286; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

OFFICE OF DEFENSE MOBILIZATION

[ODM (DPA) Request No. 50—DPAV-50 (a)]

GAYCHROME CO.

DELETION FROM LIST OF COMPANIES ACCEPTING REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN ACTIVITIES OF ORDNANCE CORPS INTEGRATION COMMITTEE ON BURSTER CASINGS

Pursuant to section 708 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, there is herewith published the name of the following company which has been deleted from the list of companies accepting the request to participate in the activities of the Ordnance Corps Integration Committee on Burster Casings in accordance with the voluntary plan entitled "Plan and Regulations of Ordnance Corps Governing the Integration Committee on Burster Casings," dated March 31, 1953. The request was published in 18 F. R. 5576 on September 17, 1953.

The Gaychrome Company, 25 St. John's Road, Worcester, Massachusetts.

(Sec. 708, 67 Stat. 129, Pub. Law 95, 83d Cong., E. O. 10480, August 14, 1953, 18 F. R. 4939)

Dated: November 2, 1953.

ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,
Director

[F. R. Doc. 53-9363; Filed, Nov. 2, 1953; 4:13 p. m.]

[ODM (DPA) Request No. 46—DPAV-44 (a)]

HIGHWAY STORAGE & WAREHOUSE CO., INC.

DELETION FROM LIST OF COMPANIES ACCEPTING REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN ACTIVITIES OF DEFENSE WAREHOUSEMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF PHILADELPHIA

Pursuant to section 708 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, there is herewith published the name of the following company which has been deleted from the list of companies accepting the request to participate in the activities of the Defense Warehousemen's Association of Philadelphia in accordance with the voluntary agreement entitled, "Defense Warehousemen's Association of Philadelphia." The request was published in 17 F. R. 8965, on October 7, 1952.

Highway Storage & Warehouse Co., Inc., 236 North 23rd Street, Philadelphia 3, Pennsylvania.

(Sec. 708, 67 Stat. 129, Pub. Law 95, 83d Cong., E. O. 10480, August 14, 1953, 18 F. R. 4939)

Dated: November 2, 1953.

ARTHUR S. FLEMMING,
Director

[F. R. Doc. 53-9364; Filed, Nov. 2, 1953; 4:13 p. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 719]

JESSE I. SMITH

NOTICE OF ORDER ISSUING NEW LICENSE

OCTOBER 29, 1953.

Notice is hereby given that on September 25, 1953, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted September 23, 1953, issuing new license (Major) in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9304; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:51 a. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[4th Sec. Application 28599]

SAND FROM HANCOCK AND BERKELEY SPRINGS, W. VA., AND GORE, VA., TO LAURENS, S. C.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by C. W Boin, Agent, for carriers parties to his tariff I. C. C. No. A-968.

Commodities involved: Sand, other than ground or pulverized, or moulding, bonded, carloads.

From: Hancock and Berkeley Springs, W Va., and Gore, Va.

To: Laurens, S. C.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: C. W Boin's tariff I. C. C. No. A-968, supp. 21.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9288; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 28600]

SAND, GRAVEL, AND CRUSHED STONE FROM MARLBORO, S. C., TO GEORGIA AND FLORIDA

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by Atlantic Coast Line Railroad Company for itself and on behalf of carriers parties to Agent C. A. Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. No. 1315.

Commodities involved: Sand, gravel, crushed stone, and related articles, carloads.

From: Marlboro, S. C.

To: Points in Georgia and Florida.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitous routes, grouping, and to apply rates on basis of a distance formula.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed

to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W LAIRD, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9289; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 28601]

COPPER FROM NEW ORLEANS, LA., TO REDSTONE ARSENAL, ALA.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by F C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company and other carriers, pursuant to fourth-section order No. 16101.

Commodities involved: Copper, viz: anodes, bars (rough cast) cakes, cathodes, ingots, matte, pigs or slabs, carloads.

From: New Orleans, La.

To: Redstone Arsenal, Ala.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, and circuitous routes.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W LAIRD, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9290; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 28602]

CAUSTIC SODA FROM PERKINS, W. VA., TO KANSAS AND MISSOURI

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by H. R. Hinsch, Alternate Agent, for carriers parties to fourth-section application No. 28463.

Commodities involved: Caustic soda in solution, tank-car loads.

From: Perkins, W Va.

To: Points in Kansas and Missouri.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, and circuitous routes.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9291; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 28603]

PHOSPHATE ROCK FROM FLORIDA MINES TO CAIRO AND LIMA, OHIO

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Phosphate rock, ground or not ground, slush and floats, and soft phosphate, carloads.

From: Florida mines.

To: Cairo and Lima, Ohio.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers, circuitous routes, and to apply rates on the basis of the short line distance formula.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: Atlantic Coast Line Railroad tariff I. C. C. No. B-3232, supp. 90. Seaboard Air Line Railroad tariff I. C. C. No. A-8153, supp. 84.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9292; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 28604]

FERTILIZER FROM LAVERGNE, TENN., TO OFFICIAL TERRITORY

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to Agent C. A. Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. No. 1366.

Commodities involved: Fertilizer and fertilizer materials, carloads.

From: Lavergne, Tenn.

To: Points in official territory.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitous routes, grouping, and to apply rates on the basis of the short line distance formula.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: C. A. Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. No. 1366, supp. 13.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD, *Secretary.*

[F. R. Doc. 53-9293; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 28605]

CRUSHED STONE FROM MT. AIRY, N. C., TO EAST ST. LOUIS, ILL.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 30, 1953.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-

haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for the Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company and other carriers.

Commodities involved: Stone, crushed (except bituminous rock or bituminous asphalt rock), carloads.

From: Mt. Airy, N. C.

To: East St. Louis, Ill.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates; C. A. Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. No. 1315, supp. 34.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 53-9294; Filed, Nov. 3, 1953; 8:48 a. m.]